



CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Basic Financial Statements and
Required Supplementary Information

June 30, 2010

(With Independent Auditors' Report Thereon)

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Table of Contents

	Page(s)
Independent Auditors' Report	1 – 2
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3 – 17
Basic Financial Statements:	
Government-Wide Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Assets	18
Statement of Activities	19
Fund Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	20
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Assets	21
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Governmental Funds	22
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	23
Statement of Net Assets – Proprietary Funds	24
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets – Proprietary Funds	25
Statement of Cash Flows – Proprietary Funds	26
Statement of Net Assets – Fiduciary Funds	27
Statement of Changes in Net Assets – Fiduciary Funds	28
Notes to Basic Financial Statements	29 – 57
Required Supplementary Information	
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – General Fund	58
Notes to Required Supplementary Information	59 – 60
Schedules of Funding Progress and Contributions from Employers	61



KPMG LLP
Two Financial Center
60 South Street
Boston, MA 02111

Independent Auditors' Report

The Honorable Mayor and City Council
City of Brockton, Massachusetts:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Brockton, Massachusetts (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Brockton, Massachusetts, as of June 30, 2010, and the respective changes in financial position and where applicable, cash flows, thereof for the year then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued a separate report, dated March 22, 2011, on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.



The management's discussion and analysis on pages 3 through 17, the budgetary comparison information on pages 58 through 60, and the schedules of funding progress and contributions from employers on page 61 are not required parts of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

KPMG LLP

March 22, 2011

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

As management of the City of Brockton (the City), we offer readers of the City's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010.

Brockton is a city located in Plymouth County, 20 miles southwest of Boston. The City has a population of approximately 93,810 (2010 federal census) and occupies a land area of 21.4 square miles. Brockton is the population center of a primary metropolitan statistical area of approximately 170,000 persons. Government is by an elected mayor and 11-member city council.

The City provides general governmental services for the territory within its boundaries, including police and fire protection, public education, water and sewer maintenance, trash disposal and parks and recreational facilities. Residential trash disposal and operation of the water filtration and wastewater treatment plant facilities are contracted out to private parties.

Financial Highlights

- The assets of the City exceeded its liabilities at the close of fiscal 2010 by approximately \$245 million (net assets).
- The City's total net assets decreased in fiscal year 2010 by approximately \$32.4 million, or 11.7%. This is primarily due to the recognition of a \$37.1 million increase in the other post employment benefit liability.
- At the end of fiscal 2010, undesignated fund balance for the general fund was approximately \$18.0 million or 6.5%, of total general fund expenditures.
- The City's total bonded debt decreased by approximately \$1.7 million during fiscal 2010. This decrease was due to scheduled debt repayments exceeding new debt issuances.

Overview of the Financial Statements

Our discussion and analysis of the City is intended to serve as an introduction to the City's basic financial statements. The City's basic financial statements are comprised of three components: (1) government-wide financial statements, (2) fund financial statements, and (3) notes to the financial statements. This analysis also contains other required supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

Government-Wide Financial Statements – The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the City's finances, in a manner similar to private-sector business.

The statement of net assets presents information on all of the City's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net assets. Over time, increases or decreases in net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the City is improving or deteriorating.

The statement of activities presents information showing how the City's net assets changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net assets are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will result in cash flows in future fiscal periods (e.g., uncollected taxes and earned but unused sick and vacation time).

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

Both of the government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the City that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (governmental activities) from other functions that are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges (business-type activities). The governmental activities of the City include general administration, public safety, education, public works, human services, and cultural development. The business-type activities of the City include water and sewer systems and recreational and refuse activities.

Fund Financial Statements – A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The City, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of the City can be divided into three categories: governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds.

Governmental Funds – Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a government's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The City maintains 40 governmental funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and in the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance for the general fund, which is the City's only major governmental fund. Data from the other nonmajor governmental funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation.

Proprietary Funds – Enterprise funds (one type of proprietary fund) are used to report the same functions presented as business-type activities in the government-wide financial statements. The City uses enterprise funds to account for its water and sewer systems and its refuse, recreational and renewable energy activities.

Proprietary funds provide the same type of information as the government-wide financial statements, only in more detail. The proprietary fund financial statements provide separate information for the water and sewer systems, both of which are considered to be major funds of the City. Refuse, recreational and renewable energy activities are combined into a single nonmajor fund.

Fiduciary Funds – Fiduciary funds are used to account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the government. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements because the resources of those funds are not available to support the City's own programs. The accounting used for fiduciary funds is much like that used for proprietary funds.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

Fiduciary fund reporting focuses on net assets and changes in net assets. The fiduciary fund category is split into two classifications: a pension trust fund and agency funds. Agency funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurement of results of operations. The City's agency fund accounts for police and fire off-duty details, as well as the school lunch program's meals tax.

The City is the trustee, or fiduciary, for its employees' pension plan. The City's fiduciary activities are reported in a separate statement of fiduciary net assets and a statement of changes in fiduciary net assets. These activities are excluded from the City's government-wide financial statements because the City cannot use these assets to finance its operations.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements – The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

Other Information – In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, these financial statements also present certain required supplementary information (RSI).

The City adopts an annual appropriated budget for the general fund and for its enterprise funds. A budgetary comparison schedule has been provided for the general fund as RSI to demonstrate compliance with this budget. Also provided as RSI is the information concerning the City's progress in funding its obligations to provide pension and post employment health benefits to its employees.

Government-Wide Financial Analysis

As noted earlier, net assets may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of the City, assets exceeded liabilities by approximately \$245.0 million at the close of the most recent fiscal year.

A significant portion of the City's net assets (85.2%) reflects its investment in capital assets, less any related debt used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding. The City uses these capital assets to provide services to citizens; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the City's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt are generally provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves typically are not used to liquidate these liabilities.

An additional portion of the City's net assets (2.0%) represents resources that are subject to external restrictions on how they may be used. The remaining balance of \$31.3 million (12.8%) represents unrestricted net assets.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

At the end of the current fiscal year, the City is able to report positive balances in all three categories of net assets, both for the City as a whole, as well as for its separate governmental and business-type activities. The same situation held true for the prior fiscal year

CONDENSED STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS

(In thousands)

	Governmental activities		Business-type activities		Total	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
Current and other assets	\$ 249,499	251,914	52,625	44,858	302,124	296,772
Capital assets	173,439	176,580	179,964	176,067	353,403	352,647
Total assets	\$ 422,938	428,494	232,589	220,925	655,527	649,419
Long-term debt outstanding	\$ 131,156	136,944	115,890	111,850	247,046	248,794
Other liabilities	147,772	108,687	15,730	14,520	163,502	123,207
Total liabilities	\$ 278,928	245,631	131,620	126,370	410,548	372,001
Net assets:						
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	\$ 133,417	142,367	75,196	67,159	208,613	209,526
Restricted	5,106	4,783	—	—	5,106	4,783
Unrestricted	5,487	35,713	25,773	27,396	31,260	63,109
Total net assets	\$ 144,010	182,863	100,969	94,555	244,979	277,418

Total Net Assets

During fiscal year 2010, the City's net assets decreased by about \$32.4 million, or 11.7%. The decrease in net assets was due to the recognition of the increase in the City's other post employment benefit net obligation totaling \$37.1 million.

Governmental Activities – Assets

For governmental activities, current and other assets decreased \$2.4 million, coupled with a decrease in capital assets of \$3.2 million, resulting in a decrease in assets of \$5.6 million. The decrease in the capital assets of was the result of the completed construction and resulting depreciation for the building of two new schools called the Manthala George and Mary Baker schools.

Governmental Activities – Liabilities

Governmental activities liabilities increased by \$33.3 million. There was an increase of \$39.1 million in other liabilities and a \$5.8 million decrease in long-term debt outstanding. The increase in other liabilities was due to the recognition of a \$37.1 million increase of the other post employment benefit (OPEB) net obligation while the decrease in long-term debt outstanding was due to scheduled debt repayments.

The City's 2010 Annual Required Contribution was \$57.8 million. Interest on the net OPEB obligation and adjustments to the ARC totaled \$115 thousand. Contributions against the ARC on a pay-as-you-go basis totaled \$20.8 million resulting in an increase in the government-wide net OBEB obligation of \$37.1 million. While the City's net OPEB obligation is \$96.1 million as of June 30, 2010, ultimately, over the next 28 years, the City will

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

recognize the entire OPEB obligation, which is estimated at \$693.6 million as of June 30, 2010, the date of the City's most recent actuarial valuation.

Business Type Activities – Assets

For business type activities, there was an increase in net assets of \$6.4 million. Current and other assets increased by \$7.8 million, while capital assets increased by \$3.9 million, and total assets increased by \$11.7 million. The increase in current and other assets was due primarily to the recognition of intergovernmental receivables related to the major ongoing investment in and upgrade of the waste water treatment plant. The increase in capital assets is due to the City's continued investment in capital spending that has been funded with borrowings from the MWPAT. In 2010, additions to business type activities capital assets totaled \$8.1 million, which is reflected in construction in progress, buildings, machinery and equipment and infrastructure. The work, when completed, will have improved the processing capability and increased the throughput capacity of the plant.

Business Type Activities – Liabilities

Business type activities liabilities increased by \$5.3 million. There was an increase of \$1.2 million in other liabilities and an increase of \$4.0 million in long-term debt outstanding. The increase of the long-term debt was the result of issuances of \$9.9 million loans from the Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust (MWPAT) for the on-going waste water treatment plant and water system upgrades.

Governmental Activities – Statement of Activities

Gross expenses for governmental activities were \$370.1 million for fiscal year 2010. This reflected an increase of \$12.5 million, or 3.5%. Included in this net increase is the City's recognition of \$37.1 million of additional expense across all category of governments related to the increase in the City's other post employment benefit net obligation.

Total general revenues for governmental activities of \$127.1 million offset total net expenses of \$165.7 million. Major ongoing revenue contributors were the net property tax at \$98.3 million, an increase of \$3.4 million. The remaining categories in total decreased by \$5.0 million, excise taxes at \$6.3 million, a decrease of \$55 thousand; intergovernmental at \$19.2 million, a decrease of \$5.0 million and other totaling \$3.3 million, an increase of \$53 thousand.

To further offset the gross expenses of the governmental activities, the City benefited from an increase in its operating grants of \$8.1 million, primarily from the state government, but also from Federal government sources. These revenue categories increased by 4.4%, to almost \$190.9 million. The increases in grant revenue were primarily the result of increases in state and federal grant funding through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA or Recovery Act).

In assessing the City's revenue adequacy to finance governmental activities, it is important to acknowledge the criticality of payments from outside agencies, especially from state and federal programs. Total revenues for governmental activities were \$331.5 million. Of this total almost \$204.4 million, or 61.7%, is from operating/capital grants contributions as well as charges for services, which is mainly from state and federal aid. Further, the City received \$19.2 million in intergovernmental aid that is classified as general revenue. An additional 29.7% of the total is derived from the City's property tax. The severe constraints on the City's revenue

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

flexibility to pay for governmental activities is demonstrated by the fact that almost 90% of its revenues are obtained from either intergovernmental sources over which the City has no control, or from the property tax, a source whose growth is limited by state law.

A statewide tax limitation statute known as "Proposition 2½" limits the property tax levy to an amount equal to 2½% of the fair market assessed value of all taxable property in the City. This limit is called the levy ceiling. A secondary limitation is that no levy in a fiscal year may exceed the preceding year's allowable tax levy by more than 2½%, plus taxes levied on certain property newly added to the tax rolls. This restriction is called the levy limit. The levy limit can be overridden by a citywide referendum vote, but the levy ceiling is an absolute limit.

For fiscal year 2010, the City levied a total of \$99.8 million in real estate property taxes against an aggregate fair market assessed value of \$5.9 billion. This levy compared to a maximum allowable levy under the levy limit of \$99.8 million, leaving only a small amount in unused levy capacity without the approval of the voters. However, the levy comprised only 1.7% of the City's aggregate assessed value. With voter approval, the city could levy an additional \$46.8 million and still remain under the levy ceiling. Accordingly, the taxing capacity exists to substantially improve the City's revenues for financing governmental activities, but converting this potential capacity to real revenues would require voter approval.

Business Type Activities – Statement of Activities

Business-Type Activities – The business-type activities increased the City's net assets by approximately \$6.4 million, or 6.8%.

The water fund contributed a \$309 thousand decrease; the sewer fund contributed \$6.3 million of the increase. The net assets for the combination of the nonmajor recreation, refuse and renewable energy funds increased \$464 thousand. The nonmajor refuse fund is self-sufficient. The nonmajor recreation and renewable energy funds require a transfer of general fund revenues to support its programs. Most of the recreation fund's revenues derive from the golf course, but those revenues are not sufficient to pay for both the golf course operations and other park and recreation programs. For this reason, a transfer of other revenues is required. The Solar Energy facility construction concluded during fiscal year 2010 and the facility has begun to generate and sell electricity.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

The operations of the water fund and sewer fund are self-sufficient. The water and sewer funds are designed to recover the costs of operations of those funds. In the water and sewer funds, operating income totaled \$583 thousand and \$7.5 million, respectively. In the water and sewer funds, cash flow from operations of \$2.2 million and \$8.0 million, respectively. In both of these funds a major upgrade to the wastewater and water treatment plants have begun and required a major contribution of resources to finance both the construction itself and the impact of construction in increased plant operating costs.

Condensed Statement of Changes in Net Assets

(In thousands)

	Governmental activities		Business-type activities		Total	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
Revenues:						
Program revenues:						
Charge for services	\$ 13,158	12,220	41,094	43,176	54,252	55,396
Operating grants	190,877	182,812	728	507	191,605	183,319
Capital grants	404	12,711	513	531	917	13,242
General revenues:						
Property taxes	98,277	94,921	—	—	98,277	94,921
Excise taxes	6,321	6,376	—	—	6,321	6,376
Intergovernmental	19,225	24,238	—	—	19,225	24,238
Other	3,258	3,205	—	—	3,258	3,205
Total revenues	<u>331,520</u>	<u>336,483</u>	<u>42,335</u>	<u>44,214</u>	<u>373,855</u>	<u>380,697</u>
Expenses:						
General government	24,580	17,129	—	—	24,580	17,129
Public safety	60,589	58,225	—	—	60,589	58,225
Education	251,233	247,250	—	—	251,233	247,250
Public works	13,308	15,006	—	—	13,308	15,006
Human services	3,575	3,206	—	—	3,575	3,206
Culture and recreation	3,726	3,493	—	—	3,726	3,493
State and county assessments	5,605	5,372	—	—	5,605	5,372
Court judgments	230	256	—	—	230	256
Interest on long-term debt	7,261	7,663	—	—	7,261	7,663
Enterprise accounts:						
Water	—	—	13,814	12,656	13,814	12,656
Sewer	—	—	13,518	12,134	13,518	12,134
Other	—	—	8,855	9,870	8,855	9,870
Total expenses	<u>370,107</u>	<u>357,600</u>	<u>36,187</u>	<u>34,660</u>	<u>406,294</u>	<u>392,260</u>
Excess (deficiency) before transfers	<u>(38,587)</u>	<u>(21,117)</u>	<u>6,148</u>	<u>9,554</u>	<u>(32,439)</u>	<u>(11,563)</u>
Transfers	<u>(266)</u>	<u>(263)</u>	<u>266</u>	<u>263</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Total transfers	<u>(266)</u>	<u>(263)</u>	<u>266</u>	<u>263</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Change in net assets	<u>(38,853)</u>	<u>(21,380)</u>	<u>6,414</u>	<u>9,817</u>	<u>(32,439)</u>	<u>(11,563)</u>
Net assets – beginning of year	<u>182,863</u>	<u>204,243</u>	<u>94,555</u>	<u>84,738</u>	<u>277,418</u>	<u>288,981</u>
Net assets – end of year	<u>\$ 144,010</u>	<u>182,863</u>	<u>100,969</u>	<u>94,555</u>	<u>244,979</u>	<u>277,418</u>

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

Financial Analysis of the City's Governmental Funds

As noted earlier, the City uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

Governmental Funds – The focus of the City's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the City's financing requirements. In particular, unreserved fund balance may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

As of the end of the fiscal year 2010, the City's governmental funds reported combined fund balances of approximately \$95 million, an increase of approximately \$1.1 million, or 1.2%, in comparison with the prior year. The general fund balance increased by \$1.4 million, from \$78.6 million to \$80.0 million and the combined fund balances for all the other funds decreased, by \$218 thousand, from \$15.2 million to \$15.0 million.

In assessing these balances, it is important to note that the "Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds" does not include capital assets or bonded indebtedness. Please refer to the "Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities" and the "Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Assets." These reconciliations will demonstrate that essentially the City's increase in fund balances of \$1.1 million, compared to its decrease in net assets of \$38.9 million, a difference of \$40.0 million, is explained largely by the following factors:

1. \$1.4 million – the positive effect of revenue accruals.
2. \$5.8 million – the positive effect of the amount by which outstanding bonded indebtedness and long-term leases were increased.
3. \$3.1 million – the negative effect of the amount by which capital assets was increased, net of depreciation expense.
4. \$4.0 million – the amortization effect of the pension asset in the statement of activities which is not included in the operating statement of the governmental funds.
5. \$37.1 million – the negative effect of increases in other liabilities, primarily other post employment benefits, which did not require the use of current resources.
6. \$2.7 million – the negative effect of the collection of the long-term intergovernmental receivable that is recorded as revenue in the governmental funds.

Of the total of ending fund balances of \$95 million, \$32.3 million constitutes undesignated fund balance, which is available for appropriation by the City or for expenditures in accordance with legal restrictions. The remainder of fund balance is reserved or designated to indicate that it is not available for new spending because it has already been committed (1) to liquidate contracts and purchase orders of the current period of \$2.3 million, (2) as deposits held for health insurance claims \$6.7 million, (3) to fund future health claims \$26.6 million, (4) to reserve for a note receivable \$7.6 million, (5) as designated for subsequent years expenditures \$10.3 million, and (6) as designated for extraordinary, unforeseen circumstances and stabilization \$9.2 million. The funds for

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

extraordinary and unforeseen circumstances may be appropriated for restricted purposes by majority vote of the city council with approval of the mayor. The fund for stabilization may be appropriated for any legal purpose by a two-thirds vote of the city council with the approval of the mayor.

The general fund is the chief operating fund of the City. At the end of the fiscal year 2010, unreserved and undesignated fund balance of the general fund was approximately \$63.5 million. Total fund balance was approximately \$80.0 million, an increase of \$1.4 million. This increase in fund balance was largely driven by an excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures and other financing uses.

As a measure of the general fund's liquidity, it may be useful to compare both unreserved fund balance and total fund balance to total fund expenditures. Unreserved fund balance represents 22.7% of total general fund expenditures, while total fund balance represents 28.6% of that same amount.

The City's liquidity has declined somewhat since fiscal year 2003. This recent trend toward declining balances, especially for the stabilization, unreserved, and undesignated categories, has occurred because revenues have not grown to compensate for certain heavy cost pressures, especially from health benefits. Accordingly, the City has drawn down reserves in order to maintain services.

Proprietary Funds

The City's proprietary funds provide the same type of information found in the business-type activities financial statements, but in more detail.

The net assets of the proprietary funds at the end of the current fiscal year totaled approximately \$101.0 million. Changes in net assets of the proprietary funds at the end of the current fiscal year totaled an increase of approximately \$6.4 million, or 6.8%.

The Proprietary Funds of the City are comprised of five (5) enterprise funds: water, sewer, recreation, refuse, and renewable energy. The results for the water and sewer funds are reported separately; the results for the recreation, refuse, and renewable energy funds are combined. The water, sewer, and refuse enterprise funds have long been self sufficient financially. The nonmajor recreation fund, which includes a golf course, has never been self sufficient. The operation of the golf course creates a modest surplus, but a substantial subsidy from the general fund is required to support the full range of recreation programs. The nonmajor renewable energy fund is also not self sufficient. The operation of the renewable energy fund creates a surplus, but a subsidy from the general fund is required to support the renewable energy fund cost of debt service.

For the water fund, the fiscal year 2010 operating income was \$583 thousand, or 4.2% of operating revenues. Nonoperating revenues (expenses) and transfers essentially netted to a negative \$892 thousand, and so net assets decreased by \$309 thousand. The operating income was driven by the City's ability to set water rates to recover its operating costs. In fiscal year 2010, budgeted costs were less than expected which drove the positive operating income. Cash flow from operations was \$2.2 million.

For the sewer fund, operating income was \$7.5 million, or 40.0% of operating revenues. However, nonoperating expenses and transfers decreased net assets by \$1.3 million. The aggressive construction schedule for the upgrade of the waste water treatment plant increased capital assets, but it also increased long-term debt and interest expense, which basically nets to a zero effect on the sewer fund's net assets. The strong operating income was

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

driven by an increase in sewer rates in the middle of 2008. This sewer rate increase has a full year effect in fiscal year 2009 and fiscal year 2010. Further, the rate increase is meant to recover future costs, which resulted in a strong operating income in fiscal year 2010. Cash flow from operations was \$8.0 million.

For the combined results of the other enterprise funds, the value of net assets increased by \$464 thousand, or 6.0%. The operating loss for combined was \$435 thousand loss in fiscal year 2010 or 5.2% of operating revenues. With the benefit of net transfers in (general fund subsidy) and the nonoperating revenue of \$899 thousand, the operating loss of \$435 thousand became a \$464 thousand positive change in net assets.

Budgetary Highlights

In fiscal year 2010, the original budget called for \$271.7 million in spending. Of this amount \$131.3 million was for Education spending, \$38.8 million was for Public Safety spending, \$56.7 million was for Fringe Benefit spending and \$44.9 million for all other categories.

Resources, including transfers in from other funds of \$3.9 million, totaled \$256.8 million, creating a planned deficit of approximately \$14.9 million. Offsetting this deficit was a contribution from "Free Cash" of \$14.5 million. The net total of approximately \$397 thousand was applied to fund the Chapter 324 Supplemental Reserve and Other Financing Sources/Uses.

In the final budget, a total of \$274.1 million in spending was authorized, an increase of \$2.4 million. The budgets that made up this amount included the following: the public safety budgets were increased by \$895 thousand; the public works budget by \$3,300; Human services budgets were increased by \$403 thousand; Capital Outlay budgets were increased by \$347 thousand; Pension and Fringe Benefit budgets were decreased by \$396 thousand; Transfers out budgets remained constant and the general government budgets were increased by \$325 thousand, all other budgets had a net increase of \$1.4 million.

To help finance the additional \$2.4 million in authorized spending, the Real and Personal Property taxes, net was increased \$1.4 million and other financing sources/uses were increased by \$1.0 million, raising resources to \$258.3 million.

On an actual basis, resources were higher than the final budget by \$11.1 million. All revenue categories resulted in a positive variance with the exception of investment income. The City's revenue estimates normally are conservative and actual results typically exceed budget by 1.5% to 2.0%. For fiscal year 2010, the positive variance was largely driven by Real Estate, Personal Property, Excise taxes, User charges and other revenue, Fees, and Licenses and Permits revenues. The increase in the Real Estate and Excise taxes were the result of increased collections in the categories of personal property, real estate, and tax title revenues. The User charges and other revenues increased due to increase in Medicare Part D Reimbursements collections. The Fees category increased as a result of the City receiving a new \$700 thousand fee for the local cable franchise. The Licenses and Permit revenue increased as a result of an increase in the City Clerk and Public Property licenses and permits fees.

On the expenditure side, a favorable variance of \$8.1 million was achieved. The City has typically achieved 1 to 2% positive variance on spending. For fiscal year 2010, this positive variance was driven by actual budgetary expenditures less than budgeted expenditures in the general government, public safety, education and pension

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

and fringe benefit line items. The reasons for the positive variances in the general government, public safety and pension and fringe benefit were due to conservative budgeting in these line items.

As a result of the revenue and expenditure positive variances, the City's fiscal year 2010 general fund operations estimated to create free cash of approximately \$16.9 million to be used in the FY 2012 budget.

Capital Assets and Debt Administration

Capital Assets – The City's investment in capital assets for its governmental and business-type activities as of June 30, 2010 amounted to approximately \$353.4 million (net of accumulated depreciation). This investment in capital assets includes land, land improvements, construction-in-progress, buildings, machinery and equipment, infrastructure, and historical works of art. The total increase in the City's investment in capital assets of \$756 thousand for fiscal year 2010 represented an increase of less than 1.0%. Capital assets for governmental activities decreased by \$3.1 million, or 1.8%, while capital assets for business-type activities increased by \$3.9 million, or 2.2%. The increase in the value of capital assets for business-type activities was primarily caused by the construction in progress, both in water and sewer enterprise funds, but especially for the project to upgrade the water and sewer treatment plants. The decrease in the value of capital assets for governmental activities is the result of depreciation exceeding capital additions. With the completion of construction activities for two schools, the City does not have any major on-going capital projects for its governmental activities.

Major capital assets included the following:

Capital Assets						
(In thousands)						
	Governmental activities		Business-type activities		Total	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
Land	\$ 7,411	7,411	3,246	3,246	10,657	10,657
Construction in progress	1,632	61,981	19,282	116,368	20,914	178,349
Historical works of art	1,809	1,809	—	—	1,809	1,809
Buildings	132,820	75,598	116,233	14,689	249,053	90,287
Land improvements	2,347	2,612	520	603	2,867	3,215
Machinery and equipment	4,205	4,171	3,416	3,470	7,621	7,641
Infrastructure	23,215	22,998	37,267	37,691	60,482	60,689
Total	\$ 173,439	176,580	179,964	176,067	353,403	352,647

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Management’s Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

Long-Term Debt – At the end of the current fiscal year, the City had total bonded debt outstanding of approximately \$247.0 million. The entire amount is backed by the full faith and credit of the City.

Outstanding Debt

(In thousands)

	Governmental activities		Business-type activities		Total	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
General obligation bonds, net	\$ 131,156	136,944	115,890	111,850	247,046	248,794
Total	\$ 131,156	136,944	115,890	111,850	247,046	248,794

The overall net increase is attributable to the following factors:

The City’s bonded debt decreased by approximately \$1.7 million or 1.0%. The decrease was attributable to scheduled repayments exceeding new issuances. The City issued \$9.9 million of MWPAT loans to fund the City’s on-going Sewer and Water rehabilitation projects. This increase in long-term debt was offset by principal payments during the current fiscal year totaling \$11.6 million.

Total long-term debt of the City represents a claim of about 70.0% of the City’s total capital assets, and a claim of about 37.7% of the City’s total assets. As a percentage of the fair value of taxable property in the City, the long-term debt comprises only 4.2%.

The City maintains an “A” (stable outlook) rating from Standard and Poor’s and an “Aa3” rating from Moody’s for general obligation debt.

Economic Factors and Next Year’s Budgets

The economic circumstances confronting the City have deteriorated considerably over the past several fiscal years, and the prospects for fiscal year 2012 portend a continuation of the same bleak factors. Revenue assistance from the state has declined in both real and nominal terms; this has been true even for aid to education, although in the last year this trend was reversed. However, for revenue assistance other than for education, the decline has been particularly steep. For example, the City’s unrestricted state aid was reduced by about \$10.0 million from nearly \$28 million in FY 2008 to nearly \$18 million in FY 2011, a reduction of about 35%. The governor’s FY 2012 proposal would reduce that aid by an additional \$1.25 million, about 7%, and legislative leaders are indicating that even deeper cuts may be necessary.

The state has provided municipalities with two new local option revenue sources: the ability to raise the lodging excise tax from four percent up to six percent, and the ability to add 0.75% to the meals sales tax. The city has adopted the higher lodging excise tax, which is expected to generate annually about \$150 thousand. The city has also raised the meals tax, which has the potential to generate nearly \$1.0 million per year as estimated by the Massachusetts State Department of Revenue.

Although the property tax levy has provided a predictable, steady source of revenue growth, it provides only a little more than one-third of the City’s financing for its general fund spending. The City’s utility operations (mainly water, sewer, refuse) are enterprise funds self-supported through user fees, but its general fund

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

operations rely on state assistance for more than 50% of the funding, with less than 10% derived from local receipts other the property tax, and less than 5% from reserves and other available funds. The growth rates of these other sources of funding have not been as steady or predictable as the growth rate of the property tax. The heavy reliance on state aid has become problematic in a period when almost all of the increase in state assistance have been restricted to education, and even more so when state aid is reduced. In the meantime, for the City, recent cost pressures on employee and retiree benefits and pensions have continued, and modest inflation recovery increases in wages and salaries have also raised expenditure levels. Accordingly, maintaining the same level of services in some recent years resulted in drawing down the City's reserves. However, during FY2010, the City balanced its budget without the use of the Stabilization Fund, and the City in FY2010 actually added \$2.2 million to that fund.

During FY2011, the City balanced its budget with a modest use of the Stabilization Fund; the City has used about \$0.5 million to balance its budget. Unfortunately, snow removal costs for the winter of 2010/2011 were about \$1.5 million above budget, which will require further use of that fund, leaving a balance of a little more than \$2.0 million. It is possible that the City will need to tap into a small portion of the remaining reserves to balance the FY 2012 budget.

Unemployment nationally and locally remains stubbornly high. The City has been deeply affected by the home mortgage foreclosure crisis. However, the local housing market has showed tentative signs of stabilization. Nonetheless, the median value of a single family home at the end of calendar year 2009 was lower than it had been since the middle of calendar year 2002. For the condominium market, the median sale value comparable to that of year end 2010 would have occurred all the way back in mid-year 2000. However, by calendar 2010 year end, the median sale value of a single family homes had improved by more than 5% over year end 2009, and for condominiums, the median price had stabilized.

The city's overall assessed value declined from FY 2010 to FY 2011 by \$239.0 million, or about 4%, due to declines in values of the commercial and industrial properties of about 9%. However, there recently has been some significant activity. The Bernardi Auto Group is redeveloping a large parcel, off Route 24, to create two separate dealerships, a Hyundai and a Honda, with an investment of \$24.0 million. This project will enjoy a substantial property tax exemption initially, but it will later provide taxes.

The Cerberus private equity fund in December 2010 closed on the acquisition of the Good Samaritan Medical Center as part of its acquisition of the Caritas Catholic hospital chain. This ownership will convert the property from tax-exempt to taxable. Taxable values have not yet been determined, but the revenues will be a significant addition to the City's tax levy.

The City's unions, except for the school unions, will have concluded their most recent contractual period on June 30, 2010, and so no contractual salary increases are scheduled or obligated for FY2011. Successor contract bargaining will occur in a constrained revenue environment. In the negotiations, which are far from conclusion, the City has proposed very modest wage increases for health insurance concessions. Unions for the school department, except for the clerks' union, whose contract expires in FY2011, will begin the second year of their three year contract in FY2012. Financing the approximately 4.0% budgeting impact of the next contract year will present a real challenge in the context of flat or declining revenues; maintaining current staffing levels will be very difficult.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

The City's health insurance costs continue to rise, reflective of the experience of most employers in Massachusetts. The city anticipates an average increase of about 7 to 8% for FY2012. The City in FY2010 analyzed its health insurance trust fund, from which the City's self-insured medical and dental claims for employees and retirees are paid, to determine if its available cash balance exceeded the amount required to reserve for incurred but not reported (IBNR) claims and for the potential in any future year of a particularly bad year of claims experience. A bad claims year could occur because even though the City purchases reinsurance against the cost of major claims by individuals, there may still be high claims from individuals which do not meet the individual claimant recovery point. The health insurance trust fund receives financing from both City appropriation and employee contributions according to rates recommended by the actuaries of Blue Cross/Blue Shield and Harvard Pilgrim. For several recent years, the fund's cash position has increased substantially because the funding provided by the recommended actuarial rates has exceeded the actual claims payment experience. The analysis up through FY2010 indicated that the trust fund had a large surplus to its required balance. A portion of this surplus was used to help finance FY2011 health cost. The City has performed another, similar analysis for FY2012. The trust fund still enjoyed a very healthy reserve position, which the city again utilized to help assist with the budget costs. A further analysis of trust fund balances for purposes of the FY2012 budget indicates that there is a sufficient reserve position to again provide budget assistance; however, no decision has yet been made.

In the past, the City has attempted to minimize the impact on reserve levels of the financing of unfavorable cost and revenue trends with a combination of strategies. First, it has moved utility services, initially, water and sewer, and most recently, trash, to self support by user fees, and it has raised those fees as needed.

Second, the City has reduced spending levels through both employee attrition and in outright budget reductions. The continuing cost pressures and revenue constraints will likely require additional service and budget reductions in FY2012.

Third, it has shifted a growing portion of the cost of health care to the employees and retirees and to the federal government. Over the past 15 years employee and retiree contributions have been successively raised from 5% to 10% to 20% to 25% to 30% for the most expensive plan and 40% for nonunion employees, and eligible retirees have been required to enroll in Medicare.

However, the city has not been able to reduce the level of plan benefits. Municipalities across the Commonwealth have urged state government to allow for the changing of health insurance plan benefits without engaging in collective bargaining with each of the unions, or to allow municipalities to join the state's insurance plans for state employees, where benefits may be unilaterally changed by the State's Group Insurance Commission (GIC), again without collective bargaining. Either approach could save an estimated 5% or more of health costs, but state government has not been willing to allow this modification of union collective bargaining rights.

More recently, there have been different proposals by the governor, the state union leaders, and legislative leaders by which municipalities and unions would bargain over benefit structures in an attempt to enter the Group Insurance Commission (GIC) or achieve comparable benefit plans. These proposals differ with respect to the level of coercion to force municipal unions into the GIC and the sharing of savings with the unions. The city is negotiating with its unions to reduce the benefit levels and share some of the savings. No resolution is in sight with City unions. No negotiations are occurring with school unions which are under contract.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

The adverse impact of increasing costs combined with the reduction in state assistance for the fiscal year 2012 budget could be avoided by a voter referendum to override the provisions of Proposition 2½. Even with the recent property valuation decreases, the City enjoys override capacity and could increase its annual levy by 10% (about \$10 million) per year, as the FY2011 assessed value of the city would support an additional levy of almost \$37.4 million while still remaining under the absolute levy ceiling. The tax increase on the average residential homeowner would be about \$40 per year for every million dollars of property tax override. However, recent history offers little encouragement. A November 2008 Proposition 2½ referendum with three questions – one for public safety, one for education, and one for libraries – failed to gain voter approval, with no question capturing 40% of the vote total, even though the annual increase on the average homeowner would have been less than \$100.00 per year. Accordingly, it is likely that the FY2012 budget problems will be solved by cost management and significant service reductions.

Requests for Information

This information is designed to provide a general overview of the City's finances for all those with an interest in the City's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this document or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the Finance Department, City Hall, 45 School Street, Brockton, Massachusetts 02301.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Statement of Net Assets

June 30, 2010

	<u>Governmental activities</u>	<u>Business-type activities</u>	<u>Total</u>
Assets:			
Cash and investments	\$ 102,997,946	19,097,049	122,094,995
Receivables, net:			
Property taxes	9,737,702	—	9,737,702
Intergovernmental	13,078,671	11,120,941	24,199,612
Other	2,714,049	21,143,797	23,857,846
Other assets	8,550,598	1,263,039	9,813,637
Long-term note receivable	7,451,183	—	7,451,183
Long-term intergovernmental receivable	19,374,822	—	19,374,822
Pension asset	85,594,447	—	85,594,447
Capital assets:			
Nondepreciable	10,852,627	22,527,951	33,380,578
Depreciable, net	<u>162,585,972</u>	<u>157,436,483</u>	<u>320,022,455</u>
Total assets	<u>422,938,017</u>	<u>232,589,260</u>	<u>655,527,277</u>
Liabilities:			
Warrants and accounts payable	8,312,035	4,390,455	12,702,490
Accrued liabilities:			
Interest	2,402,013	1,082,481	3,484,494
Payroll and related withholdings	9,775,041	235,473	10,010,514
Tax abatement refunds	1,578,539	—	1,578,539
Bond anticipation note	9,000,000	—	9,000,000
Noncurrent liabilities:			
Due within one year:			
Compensated absences and claims	5,427,238	186,220	5,613,458
Bonds, note and loans payable	6,321,825	5,852,124	12,173,949
Unearned revenue	822,763	—	822,763
Due in more than one year:			
Compensated absences and claims	12,760,167	7,487,846	20,248,013
Unearned revenue	—	1,290,190	1,290,190
Landfill closure and postclosure care costs	1,585,373	1,058,000	2,643,373
Bonds, note and loans payable	124,834,449	110,037,489	234,871,938
Other post employment benefits	<u>96,108,289</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>96,108,289</u>
Total liabilities	<u>278,927,732</u>	<u>131,620,278</u>	<u>410,548,010</u>
Net assets:			
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	133,417,325	75,195,762	208,613,087
Restricted for:			
Permanent funds:			
Nonexpendable	4,420,495	—	4,420,495
Expendable	685,816	—	685,816
Unrestricted	<u>5,486,649</u>	<u>25,773,220</u>	<u>31,259,869</u>
Total net assets	<u>\$ 144,010,285</u>	<u>100,968,982</u>	<u>244,979,267</u>

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Statement of Activities

Year ended June 30, 2010

Functions/programs	Expenses	Program revenues			Net (expense) revenue and changes in net assets		
		Charges for services	Operating grants and contributions	Capital grants and contributions	Governmental activities	Business-type activities	Total
Governmental activities:							
General government	\$ 24,580,488	4,677,560	8,299,089	42,239	(11,561,600)	—	(11,561,600)
Public safety	60,589,386	1,702,901	4,482,495	—	(54,403,990)	—	(54,403,990)
Education	251,232,955	6,053,801	173,761,313	362,098	(71,055,743)	—	(71,055,743)
Public works	13,308,389	388,323	3,688,992	—	(9,231,074)	—	(9,231,074)
Human services	3,575,403	274,452	350,078	—	(2,950,873)	—	(2,950,873)
Culture and recreation	3,725,556	61,456	294,958	—	(3,369,142)	—	(3,369,142)
State and county assessments	5,605,388	—	—	—	(5,605,388)	—	(5,605,388)
Court judgments	229,955	—	—	—	(229,955)	—	(229,955)
Interest on long-term debt	7,260,732	—	—	—	(7,260,732)	—	(7,260,732)
Total governmental activities	<u>370,108,252</u>	<u>13,158,493</u>	<u>190,876,925</u>	<u>404,337</u>	<u>(165,668,497)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(165,668,497)</u>
Business-type activities:							
Water	13,813,649	13,770,878	262,137	45,433	—	264,799	264,799
Sewer	13,517,939	18,918,760	265,661	467,875	—	6,134,357	6,134,357
Other	8,855,854	8,404,783	199,887	—	—	(251,184)	(251,184)
Total business-type activities	<u>36,187,442</u>	<u>41,094,421</u>	<u>727,685</u>	<u>513,308</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6,147,972</u>	<u>6,147,972</u>
Total primary government	<u>\$ 406,295,694</u>	<u>54,252,914</u>	<u>191,604,610</u>	<u>917,645</u>	<u>(165,668,497)</u>	<u>6,147,972</u>	<u>(159,520,525)</u>
General revenues:							
Property taxes, levied for general purposes, net					98,276,987	—	98,276,987
Excises					6,320,935	—	6,320,935
Payments in lieu of taxes					263,086	—	263,086
Penalties and interest on taxes					1,619,799	—	1,619,799
Other					295,583	—	295,583
Intergovernmental					19,225,374	—	19,225,374
Investment income					1,080,217	—	1,080,217
Transfers					(265,887)	265,887	—
Total general revenues and transfers					<u>126,816,094</u>	<u>265,887</u>	<u>127,081,981</u>
Change in net assets					<u>(38,852,403)</u>	<u>6,413,859</u>	<u>(32,438,544)</u>
Net assets, beginning of year					<u>182,862,688</u>	<u>94,555,123</u>	<u>277,417,811</u>
Net assets, end of year					<u>\$ 144,010,285</u>	<u>100,968,982</u>	<u>244,979,267</u>

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds

June 30, 2010

Assets	General	Other governmental	Total
Cash and investments	\$ 84,871,049	18,126,897	102,997,946
Receivables, net:			
Property taxes	6,308,402	—	6,308,402
Motor vehicle excise	2,365,900	—	2,365,900
Departmental and other	348,149	—	348,149
Tax liens	3,429,300	—	3,429,300
Intergovernmental	3,014,653	10,064,018	13,078,671
Total receivables	15,466,404	10,064,018	25,530,422
Long-term note receivable	7,451,183	—	7,451,183
Long-term intergovernmental receivable	19,374,822	—	19,374,822
Deposit with health claims agent	6,744,800	—	6,744,800
Total assets	<u>\$ 133,908,258</u>	<u>28,190,915</u>	<u>162,099,173</u>
Liabilities and Fund Balances			
Warrants and accounts payable	\$ 5,054,154	3,257,881	8,312,035
Accrued liabilities:			
Tax abatement refunds	1,578,539	—	1,578,539
Payroll and related withholdings	9,647,177	127,864	9,775,041
Health claims payable	3,835,502	—	3,835,502
Bond anticipation note payable	—	9,000,000	9,000,000
Deferred revenue	33,815,001	822,763	34,637,764
Total liabilities	<u>53,930,373</u>	<u>13,208,508</u>	<u>67,138,881</u>
Fund balances:			
Reserved for:			
Encumbrances and continuing appropriations	2,129,668	166,286	2,295,954
Deposits held	6,744,800	—	6,744,800
Note receivable	7,594,432	—	7,594,432
Unreserved:			
Designated for subsequent year's expenditures	9,656,070	602,790	10,258,860
Designated for extraordinary and unforeseen expenditures	4,869,582	—	4,869,582
Designated for stabilization	4,307,493	—	4,307,493
Designated for health claims	26,627,871	—	26,627,871
Undesignated, reported in:			
General fund	18,047,969	—	18,047,969
Special revenue funds	—	13,379,850	13,379,850
Capital projects funds	—	(4,272,830)	(4,272,830)
Permanent funds	—	5,106,311	5,106,311
Total fund balances	<u>79,977,885</u>	<u>14,982,407</u>	<u>94,960,292</u>
Total liabilities and fund balances	<u>\$ 133,908,258</u>	<u>28,190,915</u>	<u>162,099,173</u>

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Assets

June 30, 2010

Total fund balance – governmental funds	\$ 94,960,292
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statements of net assets are different because:	
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in the funds	173,438,599
Revenue is recorded on an accrual basis	33,815,001
Bond issuance costs are capitalized in the government-wide statements	1,669,990
Pension asset is not a financial resource and therefore not reported in the funds	85,594,447
Other costs are capitalized in the government-wide statements	135,808
Some liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the funds. Those liabilities consist of:	
Taxable bonds	(100,135,000)
Other general obligation bonds	(30,834,775)
Bond premiums	(1,055,032)
Deferred bond gains (losses)	868,533
Other post employment benefits	(96,108,289)
Accrued interest on bonds	(2,402,013)
Landfill and postclosure care costs	(1,585,373)
Compensated absences and claims	(14,351,903)
	<u>(245,603,852)</u>
Net assets of governmental activities	\$ <u><u>144,010,285</u></u>

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Governmental Funds

Year ended June 30, 2010

	<u>General</u>	<u>Other governmental</u>	<u>Total</u>
Revenues:			
Real and personal property taxes, net	\$ 97,073,442	—	97,073,442
Motor vehicle and other excise	6,330,498	—	6,330,498
Penalties and interest on taxes	1,619,799	—	1,619,799
Payments in lieu of taxes	263,086	—	263,086
User charges and other revenue	1,863,045	4,043,598	5,906,643
Fees	1,633,587	5,000,623	6,634,210
Licenses and permits	2,162,119	—	2,162,119
Intergovernmental	152,401,565	43,212,613	195,614,178
Fines	610,487	13,920	624,407
Investment income	465,816	614,401	1,080,217
Contributions	14,860,275	684,045	15,544,320
	<u>279,283,719</u>	<u>53,569,200</u>	<u>332,852,919</u>
Total revenues			
Expenditures:			
Current:			
General government	10,993,590	2,656,869	13,650,459
Public safety	37,237,861	2,925,522	40,163,383
Education	132,547,974	42,761,415	175,309,389
Public works	6,494,963	800,441	7,295,404
Human services	1,838,839	313,016	2,151,855
Culture and recreation	1,926,882	309,910	2,236,792
State and county assessments	5,605,388	—	5,605,388
Pension and fringe benefits	68,801,529	—	68,801,529
Court judgments	229,955	—	229,955
Capital outlay	638,400	2,367,370	3,005,770
Debt service	12,987,630	—	12,987,630
	<u>279,303,011</u>	<u>52,134,543</u>	<u>331,437,554</u>
Total expenditures			
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	<u>(19,292)</u>	<u>1,434,657</u>	<u>1,415,365</u>
Other financing sources (uses):			
Operating transfers in	2,263,539	12,694	2,276,233
Operating transfers out	<u>(876,777)</u>	<u>(1,665,343)</u>	<u>(2,542,120)</u>
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>1,386,762</u>	<u>(1,652,649)</u>	<u>(265,887)</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures and other financing uses	1,367,470	(217,992)	1,149,478
Fund balance, beginning of year	<u>78,610,415</u>	<u>15,200,399</u>	<u>93,810,814</u>
Fund balance, end of year	\$ <u>79,977,885</u>	<u>14,982,407</u>	<u>94,960,292</u>

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and
Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities

Year ended June 30, 2010

Net change in fund balances – total governmental funds	\$	1,149,478
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:		
Governmental funds report capital additions as expenditures. In the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is depreciated over their estimated useful lives.		
Depreciation expense (\$7,399,839) exceeded capital additions (\$4,257,947).		(3,141,892)
Accrual basis revenues can result in more or (less) revenues reported in the statement of activities depending upon timing of billings and collections.		1,367,399
Collection of long-term intergovernmental receivable that is recorded as revenue in the governmental funds.		(2,698,584)
Repayment of bond principal are expenditures in the governmental funds but reduce long-term liabilities in the statement of net assets.		5,783,150
Amortization of bond premiums (\$156,782) add to net assets while the amortization of deferred losses on refunding (\$152,657) and bond issue costs (\$129,587) decrease net assets. This is the amount by which the amortization of deferred losses on refundings and bond issue costs exceed bond premiums.		(125,562)
Amortization of the pension asset in the statement of activities is not included in the operating statement of the governmental funds.		(4,042,879)
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in the governmental funds. This amount represents the difference between a decrease in interest payable (\$69,311), landfill liability (\$205,627), accrued claims (\$15,167) and increases in compensated absences (\$336,250) and other postemployment benefit liabilities (\$37,097,368).		(37,143,513)
Change in net assets of governmental activities	\$	<u><u>(38,852,403)</u></u>

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Statement of Net Assets – Proprietary Funds

June 30, 2010

Assets	Enterprise funds			Total
	Water	Sewer	Other	
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,714,684	6,373,695	4,008,670	19,097,049
Customer receivables, net	6,351,922	10,974,130	3,817,745	21,143,797
Intergovernmental receivable	217,363	10,903,578	—	11,120,941
Other	306,151	49,957	—	356,108
Total current assets	15,590,120	28,301,360	7,826,415	51,717,895
Noncurrent assets:				
Other	245,528	618,026	43,377	906,931
Capital assets:				
Nondepreciable	3,731,390	18,666,202	130,359	22,527,951
Depreciable, net	43,202,901	108,703,441	5,530,141	157,436,483
Total noncurrent assets	47,179,819	127,987,669	5,703,877	180,871,365
Total assets	62,769,939	156,289,029	13,530,292	232,589,260
Liabilities				
Current liabilities:				
Warrants and accounts payable	750,547	3,005,307	634,601	4,390,455
Accrued expenses	333,776	946,638	37,540	1,317,954
Compensated absences and claims	104,689	38,891	42,640	186,220
Bonds, notes, and loans payable	1,288,922	4,413,202	150,000	5,852,124
Total current liabilities	2,477,934	8,404,038	864,781	11,746,753
Noncurrent liabilities:				
Compensated absences and claims	1,363,790	3,108,603	3,015,453	7,487,846
Unearned revenue	—	1,290,190	—	1,290,190
Landfill and postclosure care costs	—	1,058,000	—	1,058,000
Bonds	21,964,982	86,619,358	1,453,149	110,037,489
Total noncurrent liabilities	23,328,772	92,076,151	4,468,602	119,873,525
Total liabilities	25,806,706	100,480,189	5,333,383	131,620,278
Net Assets				
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	23,897,750	47,240,661	4,057,351	75,195,762
Unrestricted	13,065,483	8,568,179	4,139,558	25,773,220
Total net assets	\$ 36,963,233	55,808,840	8,196,909	100,968,982

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets – Proprietary Funds

Year ended June 30, 2010

	Enterprise funds			Total
	Water	Sewer	Other	
Operating revenues:				
Charges for services	\$ 13,406,566	18,504,572	8,135,327	40,046,465
Fees	364,312	382,619	267,481	1,014,412
Other	—	31,569	1,975	33,544
Total operating revenues	<u>13,770,878</u>	<u>18,918,760</u>	<u>8,404,783</u>	<u>41,094,421</u>
Operating expenses:				
Salaries and benefits	4,362,228	2,159,516	1,574,274	8,096,018
Utilities	1,129,227	1,845,650	60,281	3,035,158
Repairs and maintenance	962,886	1,294,011	139,726	2,396,623
Contractual services	5,063,942	3,062,592	6,520,897	14,647,431
Other supplies and expenses	384,449	408,579	265,862	1,058,890
Depreciation	1,284,982	2,605,191	278,624	4,168,797
Total operating expenses	<u>13,187,714</u>	<u>11,375,539</u>	<u>8,839,664</u>	<u>33,402,917</u>
Operating income (loss)	<u>583,164</u>	<u>7,543,221</u>	<u>(434,881)</u>	<u>7,691,504</u>
Nonoperating revenue (expense):				
Interest income	262,137	265,661	199,887	727,685
Interest expense	(625,935)	(2,142,400)	(16,190)	(2,784,525)
Debt subsidies	45,433	467,875	—	513,308
Total nonoperating (expenses) revenue	<u>(318,365)</u>	<u>(1,408,864)</u>	<u>183,697</u>	<u>(1,543,532)</u>
Income (loss) before transfers	<u>264,799</u>	<u>6,134,357</u>	<u>(251,184)</u>	<u>6,147,972</u>
Transfers in	106,500	1,067,877	714,983	1,889,360
Transfers out	(680,015)	(943,458)	—	(1,623,473)
Total transfers in (out)	<u>(573,515)</u>	<u>124,419</u>	<u>714,983</u>	<u>265,887</u>
Change in net assets	<u>(308,716)</u>	<u>6,258,776</u>	<u>463,799</u>	<u>6,413,859</u>
Total net assets, beginning of year	<u>37,271,949</u>	<u>49,550,064</u>	<u>7,733,110</u>	<u>94,555,123</u>
Total net assets, end of year	\$ <u><u>36,963,233</u></u>	<u><u>55,808,840</u></u>	<u><u>8,196,909</u></u>	<u><u>100,968,982</u></u>

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Statement of Cash Flows – Proprietary Funds

Year ended June 30, 2010

	Enterprise funds			Total
	Water	Sewer	Other	
Cash flows from operations:				
Cash received from customers	\$ 14,369,824	17,360,543	8,344,589	40,074,956
Cash paid to employees	(4,331,459)	(1,853,188)	(1,730,535)	(7,915,182)
Cash paid to vendors	(7,790,848)	(7,529,738)	(6,938,880)	(22,259,466)
Net cash provided by (used in) operations	<u>2,247,517</u>	<u>7,977,617</u>	<u>(324,826)</u>	<u>9,900,308</u>
Cash flows from noncapital financing activities:				
Transfers	<u>(573,515)</u>	<u>124,419</u>	<u>714,983</u>	<u>265,887</u>
Net cash provided by (used in) noncapital financing activities	<u>(573,515)</u>	<u>124,419</u>	<u>714,983</u>	<u>265,887</u>
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:				
Acquisition and construction of capital assets	(922,636)	(6,974,380)	(169,001)	(8,066,017)
Interest paid on debt	(618,498)	(2,183,413)	(16,553)	(2,818,464)
Drawdown of MWPAT loans	450,623	4,314,390	—	4,765,013
Repayment of long-term debt	(1,293,254)	(4,346,241)	(150,000)	(5,789,495)
Other	3,332	1,290,190	—	1,293,522
Net cash used in capital and related financing activities	<u>(2,380,433)</u>	<u>(7,899,454)</u>	<u>(335,554)</u>	<u>(10,615,441)</u>
Cash flows from investing activity:				
Interest income	<u>262,137</u>	<u>265,661</u>	<u>199,887</u>	<u>727,685</u>
Net cash provided by investing activity	<u>262,137</u>	<u>265,661</u>	<u>199,887</u>	<u>727,685</u>
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(444,294)	468,243	254,490	278,439
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	<u>9,158,978</u>	<u>5,905,452</u>	<u>3,754,180</u>	<u>18,818,610</u>
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	<u>\$ 8,714,684</u>	<u>6,373,695</u>	<u>4,008,670</u>	<u>19,097,049</u>
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operations:				
Operating income (loss)	\$ 583,164	7,543,221	(434,881)	7,691,504
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operations:				
Depreciation expense	1,284,982	2,605,191	278,624	4,168,797
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Accounts receivable	598,945	(1,558,216)	(65,755)	(1,025,026)
Warrants and accounts payable	(250,344)	(918,907)	48,241	(1,121,010)
Other assets and liabilities	30,770	306,328	(151,055)	186,043
Net cash provided by (used in) operations	<u>\$ 2,247,517</u>	<u>7,977,617</u>	<u>(324,826)</u>	<u>9,900,308</u>

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Statement of Net Assets – Fiduciary Funds

June 30, 2010

(Except for Pension Trust, which is as of December 31, 2009)

Assets	Pension trust fund	Agency funds
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,836,129	271,215
Receivables:		
Interest and dividends	1,032,654	—
Receivable for securities sold	929,187	—
Contributions from employers	601,172	—
Other	7,650	148,368
Total receivables	<u>2,570,663</u>	<u>148,368</u>
Investments, at fair value:		
Short-term:		
Domestic	13,182,822	—
Fixed income:		
Domestic	79,009,102	—
International	19,140,770	—
Equities:		
Domestic	116,909,116	—
International	51,576,725	—
Real estate	13,063,575	—
Alternative	14,265,311	—
Total investments	<u>307,147,421</u>	<u>—</u>
Total assets	<u>311,554,213</u>	<u>419,583</u>
Liabilities		
Payable for securities purchased	1,711,410	—
Accounts payable and other liabilities	444,272	419,583
Total liabilities	<u>2,155,682</u>	<u>419,583</u>
Net Assets		
Held in trust for pension benefits	\$ <u>309,398,531</u>	

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Statement of Changes in Net Assets – Fiduciary Funds

Year ended December 31, 2009

	<u>Pension trust fund</u>
Additions:	
Contributions:	
Employers	\$ 11,159,337
Employees	6,947,421
Other	124,557
Total contributions	<u>18,231,315</u>
Investment income:	
Net appreciation in fair value of investments	57,302,602
Investment income	8,248,165
Total investment income	<u>65,550,767</u>
Less investment expenses	<u>(1,654,547)</u>
Net investment income	63,896,220
Intergovernmental	<u>306,864</u>
Total additions	<u>82,434,399</u>
Deductions:	
Benefits	31,629,353
Member refunds and transfers to other systems, net	1,561,256
Administrative expenses	526,707
Total deductions	<u>33,717,316</u>
Change in net assets	48,717,083
Net assets, beginning of year	<u>260,681,448</u>
Net assets, end of year	<u>\$ 309,398,531</u>

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

(1) Financial Statement Presentation

The City of Brockton (the City) is governed by an elected mayor, who has general supervision of and control over the City's boards, commissions, officers and departments. The legislative body of the City is the City Council, which consists of eleven elected members serving two-year terms. U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires that the accompanying basic financial statements present the City of Brockton (the primary government) and its component units. Component units are included in the City's reporting entity if their operational and financial relationships with the City are significant. Pursuant to this criteria, the City of Brockton Retirement System (the System) has been identified as a component unit. The System was established under the authority of Chapter 32 of the Massachusetts General Laws (MGL), as amended, and is an independent contributory retirement system available to employees of the City. The powers of the System are vested in the Retirement Board. The System has been included in the City's fiduciary funds as a pension trust fund for reporting purposes. A complete set of financial statements of the System for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 can be obtained by contacting the Brockton Retirement Board at 15 Christy's Drive, Brockton, MA 02301.

The Brockton Educational Foundation meets the definition of a component unit; however, their operations are not significant.

The City has entered into joint ventures with other municipalities to pool resources and share the costs, risks, and rewards of providing goods or services to venture participants directly, or for the benefit of the general public or specified service recipients. The following is a list of the City's joint ventures, their purpose, the address where the joint venture financial statements are available, and the annual assessment paid by the City in 2010:

<u>Joint venture and address</u>	<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Annual assessment</u>
Brockton Area Transit Authority 45 School Street Brockton, MA 02301	To provide public transportation	\$ 1,859,941
Southeastern Regional School District 250 Foundry Street South Easton, MA 02375	To provide educational services	2,458,242

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The financial statements of the City have been prepared in conformity with GAAP as applied to local governmental units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial principles. The City also applies Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statements and Interpretations issued on or before November 30, 1989, to its governmental and business-type activities and proprietary funds provided they do not conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements. The most significant of the City's accounting policies are described below.

(a) *Basis of Presentation*

The financial condition and results of operations of the City are presented as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, except for the System, which is presented as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Government-Wide Statements

The statement of net assets and the statement of activities display information about the primary government (the City). These statements include the financial activities of the overall government, except for fiduciary activities. Eliminations have been made to minimize the double-counting of internal activities. These statements distinguish between the governmental and business-type activities of the City. Governmental activities generally are financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and other nonexchange transactions. Business-type activities are financed in whole or in part by fees charged to external parties.

The statement of activities presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for the different business-type activities of the City and for each function of the City's governmental activities. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a program or function and, therefore, are clearly identifiable to a particular function. Indirect expense allocations that have been made in the funds have been reversed for the statements of activities. Program revenues include (a) fees, fines, and charges paid by the recipients of goods or services offered by the programs and (b) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program. Revenues that are not classified as program revenues, including all taxes, are presented as general revenues.

Fund Financial Statements

The fund financial statements provide information about the City's funds, including its fiduciary funds. Separate statements for each fund category-governmental, proprietary, and fiduciary-are presented. The emphasis of fund financial statements is on major governmental and enterprise funds, each of which is displayed in a separate column. All remaining governmental and enterprise funds are aggregated and reported as nonmajor funds.

Proprietary fund operating revenues, such as charges for services, result from exchange transactions associated with the principal activity of the fund. Exchange transactions are those in which each party receives and gives up essentially equal values. Operating expenses for proprietary funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses.

The City reports the following major governmental fund:

General Fund – This is the City’s primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

The City reports the following major enterprise funds:

Water Fund – This fund accounts for the provisions of water treatment and distribution to its residential and commercial users located within the City.

Sewer Fund – This fund accounts for the provisions of sanitary sewer service to the residents and commercial users located within the City.

Additionally, the City reports the following fund types:

Pension Trust Fund – Accounts for the activities of the System, which accumulates resources for pension benefit payments to qualified employees of its contributing members.

Agency Funds – These funds account for off-duty police, fire and custodial details. The City’s agency funds are custodial in nature (assets equals liabilities) and do not involve measurement of results of operations.

(b) *Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting*

Government-Wide, Proprietary, and Fiduciary Fund Financial Statements – The government-wide, proprietary, and fiduciary fund financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus. The government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred, regardless of when the related cash flows take place. Nonexchange transactions, in which the City gives (or receives) value without directly receiving (or giving) equal value in exchange, include property taxes, grants, entitlements, and donations. On an accrual basis, revenue from property taxes is recognized in the fiscal year for which the taxes are levied. Revenue from grants, entitlements, and donations is recognized as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements – Governmental funds are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues are recognized when measurable and available. The City generally considers nongrant revenues reported in the governmental funds to be available if the revenues are collected within sixty days after year-end. Grant revenues that the City earns by incurring obligations are recognized in the same period as when the obligations are recognized. Expenditures are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred, except for principal and interest on general long-term debt, claims and judgments, and compensated absences, which are recognized as expenditures to the extent they have matured. Tax abatement refunds are recognized as fund liabilities for refunds filed prior to year-end and paid within a year. General capital asset acquisitions are reported as

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

expenditures in governmental funds. Proceeds of general long-term debt are reported as other financial sources.

Under the terms of grant agreements, the City funds certain programs by a combination of specific cost-reimbursement grants, categorical block grants, and general revenues. Thus, when program expenses are incurred, there are both restricted and unrestricted net assets available to finance the program. It is the City's policy to first apply cost-reimbursement grant resources to such programs, followed by categorical block grants, and then by general revenues.

(c) *Deferred Revenue*

In the governmental fund financial statements, deferred revenue represents monies received or revenues accrued that have not been earned or do not meet the "available" criteria for revenue recognition under the modified accrual basis of accounting.

(d) *Cash and Cash Equivalents*

To improve cash management, cash received by the City is pooled. Monies for all funds, except those restricted by MGL to be held separately, are maintained in this pool. Individual fund integrity is maintained through City records. Each fund's interest in the pool is presented as "cash and cash equivalents" on the balance sheets.

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, all highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased are considered to be cash equivalents.

(e) *Investment Valuation*

The City's investments are carried at fair value. The City also invests in the Massachusetts Municipal Depository Trust (MMDT), which is an external investment pool and is not SEC registered. This fund is state regulated and is valued at current share price. See note 6 for discussion of the System's investments.

(f) *Compensated Absences*

The liability for compensated absences reported in the government-wide and proprietary fund statements consists of unpaid, accumulated annual vacation and sick leave balances. The liability has been calculated using the vesting method, in which leave amounts for both employees who currently are eligible to receive termination payments and other employees who are expected to become eligible in the future to receive such payments upon termination are included.

(g) *Capital Assets*

General capital assets are those assets not specifically related to activities reported in the proprietary funds. These assets generally result from expenditures in the governmental funds. These assets are reported in the governmental activities column of the government-wide statements of net assets but are not reported in the fund financial statements. Capital assets utilized by the proprietary funds are reported both in the business-type activities column of the government-wide statements of net assets and in the respective funds.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

All capital assets are capitalized at cost (or estimated historical cost) and updated for additions and requirements during the year. Donated fixed assets are recorded at their fair market values as of the date received. The City maintains a capitalization threshold of \$10,000. The City's infrastructure consists of bridges, culverts, curbs, sidewalks, storm sewers, streets, and water and sewer lines. Improvements are capitalized; the costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend an asset's life are not capitalized.

All reported capital assets are depreciated except for land, construction in progress and historical works of art. Improvements are depreciated over the remaining useful lives of the related capital assets. Useful lives for infrastructure were estimated based on the City's historical records of necessary improvements and replacement. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the following useful lives:

Buildings	40 – 50 years
Land improvements	20 years
Machinery and equipment	5 – 20 years
Infrastructure	15 – 100 years

(h) Fund Balances – Governmental Funds

The City has allocated fund balances to its governmental funds as follows:

Encumbrances and continuing appropriations – represents the amount of unexpended appropriations carried forward to fiscal year 2011 for projects which have not been completed, open purchase orders, and for contracts which have not been performed.

Deposits held – represents amount deposited with health claims agent.

Note receivable – represents amount of fund balance reserved for long-term note receivable.

Subsequent year's expenditures – represents the amount of fund balance authorized to fund fiscal year 2011 appropriations.

Extraordinary and unforeseen expenditures – in accordance with Chapter 324 – Acts of 1990 of the MGL, the City has designated an amount not less than 1.5% of the gross amount raised from the prior fiscal year's tax recapitulation sheet.

Stabilization – represents the amount of fund balance that can be appropriated for any municipal use upon two-thirds approval of the City Council.

Health claims – represents amount of fund balance that can be expended for the purpose of paying health claims.

Undesignated – represents the amount of funds available for appropriations by the City or for expenditure in accordance with legal restrictions for certain special revenue, capital projects, and permanent funds.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

(i) ***Net Assets***

Net assets represent the difference between assets and liabilities. Net assets invested in capital assets, net of related debt consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by the outstanding balances of any borrowing used for the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets. Net assets are reported as restricted when there are limitations imposed on their use either through the enabling legislation of the City or through external restrictions imposed by grantors or laws or regulations.

The City applies restricted resources when an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net assets are available.

(j) ***Bond Discounts, Premiums, Reacquisition Costs, and Issuance Costs***

In the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements, bond discounts/premiums, reacquisition costs, and issuance costs are deferred and amortized over the term of the bonds using the straight-line method. Bond issuance costs are reported as deferred charges.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond discounts, premiums, and issuance costs in the period the bond proceeds are received. The face amount of the debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuance are reported as other financing sources while discounts are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds, are reported as expenditures.

(k) ***Use of Estimates***

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(3) **Receivables**

(a) ***Property Taxes***

Real and personal property taxes are based on values assessed as of each January 1 and are due in quarterly installments on August 1, November 1, February 1, and May 1. By law, all taxable property in the Commonwealth must be assessed at 100% of fair cash value. Taxes due and unpaid after the respective due dates are subject to interest and penalties. The City has an ultimate right to foreclose on property for which taxes have not been paid. Property taxes levied are recorded as receivables in the fiscal year of the levy.

A statewide tax limitation statute known as "Proposition 2½" limits the property tax levy to an amount equal to 2½% of the value of all taxable property in the City. A secondary limitation is that no levy in a fiscal year may exceed the preceding year's allowable tax levy by more than 2½%, plus taxes levied on certain property newly added to the tax rolls. Certain Proposition 2½ taxing limitations can be overridden by a City-wide referendum vote.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

(b) Note Receivable

In January 2002, the City issued an \$8.0 million note (the Note) to the Brockton 21st Century Corporation (the Corporation), to partially finance the construction of a 4,700 seat baseball stadium for minor league baseball and a 14,000 square-foot conference center.

The corporation was created by a special act (the Act) of the Massachusetts Legislature in 1993 to serve as a private corporation for economic development in the City; costs of the activities of the corporation pursuant to the Act qualify as public purpose expenditures.

The facilities are leased by the Corporation to a private third party who operates both the conference center and a minor league baseball team.

The Note was financed with the issuance of a like amount of taxable bonds.

The Note matures in fiscal 2022, has an effective interest rate of 6.31%, and is secured by the stadium, related conference center, and all stadium-generated lease revenues paid to the corporation, and almost all conference center lease revenues. The scheduled principal payments are as follows:

Fiscal year:	
2011	\$ 143,249
2012	152,295
2013	161,912
2014	172,137
2015	183,007
2016 – 2020	1,103,691
2021 – 2023	<u>5,678,141</u>
Total	<u>\$ 7,594,432</u>

This baseball stadium and conference center is constructed on City-owned land that has been leased to the Corporation for an annual ground lease payment of \$10 for the term of the Note, after which time the lease payment amount will be based upon fair market value.

(c) Long-Term Receivable

The City participates in the Commonwealth's school building assistance program, which is administered by the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA). The MSBA provides financial assistance (90% of total costs) to the City to build and/or renovate schools. As of June 30, 2010, under MSBA's contract assistance program, the City was due funds totaling \$22,073,406.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

In the General Fund, the receivable is offset by deferred revenue because the revenue is not considered available. The following is a schedule of the five-year paydown as of June 30, 2010 through 2013, and in five-year increments thereafter:

Fiscal year:		
2011	\$	2,698,584
2012		2,698,584
2013		2,698,584
2014		2,698,584
2015		2,698,584
2016 – 2020		<u>8,580,486</u>
Total	\$	<u><u>22,073,406</u></u>

(d) Intergovernmental Receivables

Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust (MWPAT)

In order to fund continuous upgrades to the City’s wastewater treatment plant, the City has entered into loan agreements with the MWPAT. When the loan agreements are executed, the City is responsible for paying the debt service on the loan. However, the City does not receive all loan proceeds when the loan agreements are executed.

The City annually enters into loan agreements with MWPAT for the purposes of upgrading water and sewer infrastructure. The City records the entire amount of the loan at inception; however, the proceeds from the loan are not received until such time the work is performed. As of June 30, 2010, the City has recorded receivables in its water and sewer funds for \$217 thousand and \$10.9 million, respectively, representing the amount of loan proceeds not yet received from the MWPAT.

Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA)

In order to help fund the construction of two new schools, the City has entered into an agreement where the MSBA will fund 90% of eligible costs of the school construction. The City works on a cost-reimbursement basis and as of June 30, 2010, the City incurred costs of \$753 thousand for which reimbursement has not been received. That amount is recorded as an intergovernmental receivable in the Other Governmental Funds.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

(4) Capital Assets

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2010 was as follows:

Primary Government

	<u>Beginning balance</u>	<u>Increases</u>	<u>Decreases</u>	<u>Ending balance</u>
Governmental activities:				
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 7,410,698	—	—	7,410,698
Construction in progress	61,981,408	480,623	60,829,616	1,632,415
Historical works of art	1,809,514	—	—	1,809,514
	<u>71,201,620</u>	<u>480,623</u>	<u>60,829,616</u>	<u>10,852,627</u>
Total capital assets, not being depreciated				
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Buildings	141,240,663	60,846,507	—	202,087,170
Land improvements	8,078,410	48,828	—	8,127,238
Machinery and equipment	20,554,104	952,263	—	21,506,367
Infrastructure	85,050,696	2,759,342	—	87,810,038
	<u>254,923,873</u>	<u>64,606,940</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>319,530,813</u>
Total capital assets, being depreciated				
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Buildings	65,643,306	3,624,713	—	69,268,019
Land improvements	5,465,922	314,402	—	5,780,324
Machinery and equipment	16,382,575	919,031	—	17,301,606
Infrastructure	62,053,199	2,541,693	—	64,594,892
	<u>149,545,002</u>	<u>7,399,839</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>156,944,841</u>
Total accumulated depreciation				
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	<u>105,378,871</u>	<u>57,207,101</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>162,585,972</u>
Governmental capital assets, net	\$ <u><u>176,580,491</u></u>	<u><u>57,687,724</u></u>	<u><u>60,829,616</u></u>	<u><u>173,438,599</u></u>

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

Depreciation expense was charged to governmental functions as follows:

Governmental activities:		
General government		\$ 185,428
Public safety		982,825
Public works		2,262,478
Education		3,602,231
Human services		96,730
Culture and recreation		270,147
		<u>7,399,839</u>
Total depreciation expense – governmental activities		\$ <u>7,399,839</u>

	<u>Beginning balance</u>	<u>Increases</u>	<u>Decreases</u>	<u>Ending balance</u>
Business-type activities:				
Water:				
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 2,929,492	—	—	2,929,492
Construction in progress	23,025,547	566,523	22,790,172	801,898
		<u>566,523</u>	<u>22,790,172</u>	
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	<u>25,955,039</u>			<u>3,731,390</u>
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Buildings	3,741,647	22,084,064	—	25,825,711
Land improvements	105,700	—	—	105,700
Machinery and equipment	10,147,852	322,474	—	10,470,326
Infrastructure	31,454,944	739,747	—	32,194,691
		<u>23,146,285</u>	<u>—</u>	
Total capital assets, being depreciated	<u>45,450,143</u>			<u>68,596,428</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Buildings	1,823,772	355,525	—	2,179,297
Land improvements	100,971	860	—	101,831
Machinery and equipment	7,924,543	356,969	—	8,281,512
Infrastructure	14,259,259	571,628	—	14,830,887
		<u>1,284,982</u>	<u>—</u>	
Total accumulated depreciation	<u>24,108,545</u>			<u>25,393,527</u>
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	<u>21,341,598</u>	<u>21,861,303</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>43,202,901</u>
Water capital assets, net	<u>47,296,637</u>	<u>22,427,826</u>	<u>22,790,172</u>	<u>46,934,291</u>

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

	<u>Beginning balance</u>	<u>Increases</u>	<u>Decreases</u>	<u>Ending balance</u>
Sewer:				
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 186,327	—	—	186,327
Construction in progress	<u>93,325,040</u>	<u>6,693,147</u>	<u>81,538,312</u>	<u>18,479,875</u>
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	<u>93,511,367</u>	<u>6,693,147</u>	<u>81,538,312</u>	<u>18,666,202</u>
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Buildings	28,622,519	81,569,421	—	110,191,940
Land improvements	258,000	—	—	258,000
Machinery and equipment	42,571,680	72,152	—	42,643,832
Infrastructure	<u>37,813,100</u>	<u>177,972</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>37,991,072</u>
Total capital assets, being depreciated	<u>109,265,299</u>	<u>81,819,545</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>191,084,844</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Buildings	16,244,801	1,734,693	—	17,979,494
Land improvements	251,550	6,450	—	258,000
Machinery and equipment	41,474,774	190,387	—	41,665,161
Infrastructure	<u>21,805,087</u>	<u>673,661</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>22,478,748</u>
Total accumulated depreciation	<u>79,776,212</u>	<u>2,605,191</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>82,381,403</u>
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	<u>29,489,087</u>	<u>79,214,354</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>108,703,441</u>
Sewer capital assets, net	<u>123,000,454</u>	<u>85,907,501</u>	<u>81,538,312</u>	<u>127,369,643</u>

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

	<u>Beginning balance</u>	<u>Increases</u>	<u>Decreases</u>	<u>Ending balance</u>
Other:				
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 130,359	—	—	130,359
Construction in progress	16,996	—	16,996	—
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	<u>147,355</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>16,996</u>	<u>130,359</u>
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Buildings	862,298	—	—	862,298
Land improvements	32,459,301	—	—	32,459,301
Machinery and equipment	2,256,551	169,000	—	2,425,551
Infrastructure	6,848,196	16,996	—	6,865,192
Total capital assets, being depreciated	<u>42,426,346</u>	<u>185,996</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>42,612,342</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Buildings	469,240	17,876	—	487,116
Land improvements	31,867,804	75,565	—	31,943,369
Machinery and equipment	2,107,073	70,254	—	2,177,327
Infrastructure	2,359,460	114,929	—	2,474,389
Total accumulated depreciation	<u>36,803,577</u>	<u>278,624</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>37,082,201</u>
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	<u>5,622,769</u>	<u>(92,628)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>5,530,141</u>
Other capital assets, net	<u>5,770,124</u>	<u>(92,628)</u>	16,996	<u>5,660,500</u>
Business-type activities capital assets, net	<u>\$ 176,067,215</u>	<u>108,242,699</u>	<u>104,345,480</u>	<u>179,964,434</u>

(5) Deposits and Investments

The following represents the City's essential risk information about deposits and investments.

(a) Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of bank failure, the City's deposits may not be returned. The City carries deposits that are insured by FDIC insurance or collateralized with securities held by the City or the City's agent in the City's name. The City also carries deposits that are not collateralized and are uninsured. As of June 30, 2010, the City's bank balances of uninsured and uncollateralized deposits totaled \$112,001,562 under the \$250,000 FDIC limit. All of the

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

System's deposits are fully insured by FDIC insurance or collateralized with securities held by the System or the System's agent in the System's name.

(b) Investment Policy

The provisions of Massachusetts General Laws (M.G.L.) c. 32, sec 23(2) govern the City's investment practice.

Diversification is attained through varied investment management styles that comply with Massachusetts state law. This is accomplished through the retention of investment managers that adhere to M.G.L. c. 32, sec 23(3), the "Prudent Person" rule.

(c) Interest Rate Risk

The following is a listing of the City's fixed-income investments and related maturity schedule (in years) as of June 30, 2010 for the primary government and December 31, 2009 for the retirement system:

<u>Investment type</u>	<u>Fair value</u>	<u>Less than 1</u>	<u>1 – 5</u>	<u>6 – 10</u>	<u>More than 10</u>
Retirement system:					
Short term investment fund	\$ 13,182,822	13,182,822	—	—	—
U.S. treasury notes and bonds	10,323,731	—	5,769,420	3,234,902	1,319,409
U.S. agencies	4,236,347	—	4,160,664	—	75,683
Municipal	2,305,011	—	1,702,057	207,088	395,866
Corporate	49,443,179	820,085	12,865,545	23,178,190	12,579,359
Pooled funds – domestic	6,164,579	—	6,164,579	—	—
Pooled funds – international	19,140,770	—	19,140,770	—	—
Asset backed:					
CMOs	3,048,552	—	—	—	3,048,552
Mortgage backed	210,255	—	—	93,347	116,908
Other	3,277,448	—	1,025,048	255,247	1,997,153
Subtotal	<u>111,332,694</u>	<u>14,002,907</u>	<u>50,828,083</u>	<u>26,968,774</u>	<u>19,532,930</u>
City:					
U.S. treasury notes and bonds	162,801	62,250	—	100,551	—
U.S. agencies	1,439,383	232,850	806,355	400,178	—
Corporate Bonds	703,310	—	178,601	524,709	—
MMDT	8,230,863	8,230,863	—	—	—
Subtotal	<u>10,536,357</u>	<u>8,525,963</u>	<u>984,956</u>	<u>1,025,438</u>	<u>—</u>
Total	<u>\$ 121,869,051</u>	<u>22,528,870</u>	<u>51,813,039</u>	<u>27,994,212</u>	<u>19,532,930</u>

The City's and System's guidelines do not specifically address limits on maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair-value losses arising from increasing interest rates. The manager of each fixed-income portfolio is responsible for determining the maturity and commensurate returns of his/her portfolio.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

The asset backed investments held by the System as of December 31, 2009 are highly sensitive to changes in interest rates.

(d) Credit Risk

The City and the System allows investment managers to apply discretion under the “Prudent Person” rule. Investments are made, as a prudent person would be expected to act with discretion and intelligence, to seek reasonable income, preserve capital, and, in general, avoid speculative investments.

The City’s fixed-income investments as of June 30, 2010 for the primary government and December 31, 2009 for the retirement system were rated by Standard & Poor’s and/or an equivalent national rating organization, and the ratings are presented below using the Standard and Poor’s rating scale:

<u>Investment type</u>	<u>Fair value</u>	<u>AAA to A</u>	<u>BBB to B</u>	<u>CCC</u>	<u>Not rated</u>
Retirement system:					
Short term investment fund	\$ 13,182,822	—	—	—	13,182,822
U.S. agencies	4,236,347	4,236,347	—	—	—
Municipal	2,305,011	2,305,011	—	—	—
Corporate	49,443,179	16,311,541	33,081,490	—	50,148
Pooled funds – domestic	6,164,579	—	—	—	6,164,579
Pooled funds – international	19,140,770	—	—	—	19,140,770
Asset backed:					
CMO’s	3,048,552	2,831,921	216,631	—	—
Mortgage backed	210,255	210,255	—	—	—
Other	3,277,448	1,829,924	1,383,642	13,007	50,875
Subtotal	<u>101,008,963</u>	<u>27,724,999</u>	<u>34,681,763</u>	<u>13,007</u>	<u>38,589,194</u>
City:					
U.S. agencies	1,439,383	1,439,383	—	—	—
Corporate Bonds	703,310	158,924	—	—	544,386
MMDT	8,230,863	—	—	—	8,230,863
Subtotal	<u>10,373,556</u>	<u>1,598,307</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>8,775,249</u>
Total	<u>\$ 111,382,519</u>	<u>29,323,306</u>	<u>34,681,763</u>	<u>13,007</u>	<u>47,364,443</u>

In addition to the above schedule, the City and the System have \$162,801 and \$10,323,731, respectively, invested in U.S. government securities, which are not included above as they are explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. government.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

(e) Concentration Risk

The System has no investments in a single issuer, at fair value, that exceeds 5% of the System's investments as of December 31, 2009. Additionally, the City has no investments in a single issuer, at fair value, that exceeds 5% of the City's net assets as of June 30, 2010.

The City adheres to the provisions of M.G.L. c. 32, sec 23(2) when managing concentration risk.

(f) Foreign Currency Risk

Foreign currency risk is the risk that changes in exchange rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment or a deposit. Only the retirement system is statutorily allowed to invest in foreign currency securities. Similar to the investments in domestic equities, the City employs, or encourages its investment advisor to employ, diversification, asset allocation, and quality strategies. On currency hedging is permitted for defensive purposes. Currency hedging shall be effected through the use of forward currency contracts. At December 31, 2009, there were no open forward currency contracts.

Risk of loss arises from changes in currency exchange rates. Although the System does not have investments denominated in foreign currencies, the System's exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency for investments denominated in U.S. dollars includes \$19,140,770 and \$51,576,725 in international pooled fixed income and equity funds, respectively.

(6) Retirement System

(a) Plan Description

The City contributes to the System, an agent, multiple-employer, public employee retirement system that acts as the investment and administrative agent for the City, the Private Industry Council, the Brockton Housing Authority, the Brockton Redevelopment Authority and the Brockton Area Transit Authority. The System provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. The System is a member of the Massachusetts Contributory System which is governed by Chapter 32 of the MGL. The System is overseen by an independent five-member board consisting of the following: Chairperson, City Auditor (Ex-Officio), Mayoral appointment, a member elected by the System members and a member elected by the other board members. Public school teachers are covered by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Teachers' Retirement System, to which the City does not contribute.

(b) Basis of Accounting

The System's financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. Plan member contributions are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due. Employer contributions to the plan are recognized when due and the employer has made a formal commitment to provide contributions. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of each plan.

Investments of the System are stated as follows:

- (a) Domestic and international bonds and equity securities are stated a quoted market value.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

- (b) Real estate funds are stated at appraised value or partner's account value, whichever is more readily determinable.
- (c) Venture capital funds are stated at fair value
- (d) Domestic and international pooled funds are stated a net asset value.

(c) Membership

The City's membership in the System consisted of the following at January 1, 2010, the date of the latest actuarial valuation:

Retirees and beneficiaries receiving benefits	1,203
Terminated plan members entitled to but not receiving benefits	354
Active plan members	1,590
Total membership	3,147

(d) Contributions

Plan members are required to contribute to the System, depending on their employment date. Active members contribute 5%, 7%, 8%, or 9% of their regular gross compensation depending on the date upon which their membership began. Members hired after January 1, 1979 must contribute an additional 2% of regular compensation in excess of \$30,000. Participating employers are required to pay into the System their share of the remaining system-wide actuarially determined contribution. The contributions of plan members and the participating employers are governed by Chapter 32 of the MGL.

The following table presents the schedule of the City's contributions:

Fiscal year ending	Annual required contribution	Interest on net pension asset	Amortization of net pension asset	Pension cost	Actual contribution	Change in net pension asset	Net pension asset
2010	\$ 9,980,937	(7,170,986)	11,213,865	14,023,816	9,980,937	(4,042,879)	85,594,447
2009	9,877,931	(7,430,922)	10,817,911	13,264,920	10,016,536	(3,248,384)	89,637,326
2008	9,470,426	(7,607,974)	9,821,132	11,683,584	9,470,426	(2,213,158)	92,886,520

The System's Retirement Board, the City Council and the Mayor approved the option for local funding of cost-of-living adjustments. The System's funding schedule has been updated to reflect the increased liabilities resulting from the adoption of this option. These cost-of-living adjustments will be awarded automatically each year, except in years in which the Retirement Board determines that such an adjustment would substantially impair the funding schedule.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

(e) **Legally Required Reserve Accounts**

The balances in the System's legally required reserves at December 31, 2009 are as follows:

<u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Purpose</u>
Annuity Savings Fund	\$ 69,783,192	Active members' contribution balance
Annuity Reserve Fund	27,278,404	Retired members' contribution account
Military Service Credit	71,826	Members' contribution account while on military leave
Pension Reserve Fund	167,144,097	Amounts to fund future retirement benefits
Pension Fund	45,121,012	Remaining net assets
Total	<u>\$ 309,398,531</u>	

All reserve accounts are funded at levels required by state statute.

(f) **Funded Status and Funding Progress**

The funded status of the City's pension plan administered by the System as of January 1, 2010, the most recent actuarial valuation date, is as follows (in thousands):

Actuarially accrued liability (AAL)	\$ 453,213
Actuarial value of plan assets	<u>351,526</u>
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL)	<u>\$ 101,687</u>
Funded ratio (actuarial value of plan assets/AAL)	77.6%
Covered payroll (active plan members)	\$ 70,882
UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll	143.5%

The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information (RSI) following the notes to the financial statements, present multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial values of plan assets are increasing or decreasing over time relative to the AAL's for benefits.

In the January 1, 2010 actuarial valuation, the individual entry age normal actuarial cost method was used. The actuarial assumptions included an 8.0% investment rate of return, projected salary increases of 4.75%, and cost-of-living adjustments of 3% up to \$360 annually. The actuarial value of assets was determined using a 5 year smoothing of the fair value of investments. The System's unfunded actuarial accrued liability is being amortized as a level percentage of pay on an open basis. The remaining amortization period at January 1, 2010 was 20 years.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

(7) Long-Term Obligations

The following is a summary of changes in long-term obligations for the year ended June 30, 2010:

<u>General long-term obligations</u>	<u>Maturing through year ended June 30</u>	<u>Interest percentage range</u>	<u>Outstanding beginning of year</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Reductions</u>	<u>Outstanding end of year</u>
Governmental activities:						
General:						
Taxable	2027	(4.75% – 6.45%)	\$ 102,730,000	—	2,595,000	100,135,000
General obligation	2022	(3.00% – 6.75%)	<u>34,022,925</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3,188,150</u>	<u>30,834,775</u>
Subtotal			<u>\$ 136,752,925</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>5,783,150</u>	<u>130,969,775</u>
Add (deduct):						
Unamortized bond premium						1,055,032
Unamortized deferred amounts on refundings						<u>(868,533)</u>
Total governmental activities bonded debt, net						<u>131,156,274</u>
Business-type activities:						
Water	2028	(3.00% – 7.75%)	\$ 24,394,447	164,969	1,293,254	23,266,162
Sewer	2028	(2.00% – 6.75%)	84,760,290	9,717,695	4,346,241	90,131,744
Other	2019	(3.80% – 6.00%)	<u>1,750,000</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>150,000</u>	<u>1,600,000</u>
Subtotal			<u>\$ 110,904,737</u>	<u>9,882,664</u>	<u>5,789,495</u>	<u>114,997,906</u>
Add (deduct):						
Unamortized bond premium						1,113,891
Unamortized deferred amounts on refundings						<u>(222,184)</u>
Total business-type activities bonded debt, net						<u>115,889,613</u>
Total bonded debt, net						<u>\$ 247,045,887</u>
Other long-term obligations:						
Self-insured benefit plans:						
Governmental activities			\$ 7,805,127	38,095,632	38,324,926	7,575,833
Business-type activities:						
Water			1,090,641	440,952	448,785	1,082,808
Sewer			2,751,488	543,282	272,140	3,022,630
Other			3,049,097	131,994	304,468	2,876,623
Compensated absences, net:						
Governmental activities			10,275,322	336,250	—	10,611,572
Business-type activities:						
Water			351,650	34,021	—	385,671
Sewer			100,987	23,877	—	124,864
Other			164,955	18,459	1,944	181,470
Landfill closure and postclosure care costs:						
Governmental activities			1,791,000	—	205,627	1,585,373
Business-type activities:						
Sewer			1,012,000	46,000	—	1,058,000
Other post employment benefits						
Governmental activities			<u>59,010,921</u>	<u>60,299,101</u>	<u>23,201,733</u>	<u>96,108,289</u>
Total other long-term obligations			<u>\$ 87,403,188</u>	<u>99,969,568</u>	<u>62,759,623</u>	<u>124,613,133</u>

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

During 2010, the City issued \$9,882,664 of Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust bonds and awarded a \$1,290,190 deferred grant through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) to fund the City's on-going sewer and water plant rehabilitation projects.

The sewer rehabilitation funding consists of a \$11,007,885 loan, coupled with a principal forgiveness clause, from the MWPAT. Of this loan, the City has recognized \$9,717,695 as long-term debt in the sewer fund. The balance, \$1,290,190, is principal forgiveness that is anticipated to be funded with ARRA dollars and will turn into a grant once certain criteria are met. The City has recorded this receipt as unearned revenue in the Sewer fund until such time all requirements are met and the principal is forgiven.

The water plant rehabilitation projects loan totaling \$164,969.

The interest rate on the bonds is 2% and due serially through July 15, 2030.

Maturity of Bond Indebtedness

Bond indebtedness outstanding at June 30, 2010 matures as follows:

	Governmental activities		
	Principal	Interest	Total
Year ending June 30:			
2011	\$ 6,321,825	6,727,972	13,049,797
2012	4,338,400	6,497,425	10,835,825
2013	4,806,750	6,304,586	11,111,336
2014	5,331,900	6,083,309	11,415,209
2015	5,841,650	5,824,395	11,666,045
2016 – 2020	31,199,250	24,080,976	55,280,226
2021 – 2025	37,430,000	15,204,788	52,634,788
2026 – 2030	35,700,000	3,040,150	38,740,150
	<u>\$ 130,969,775</u>	<u>73,763,601</u>	<u>204,733,376</u>

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

	Business-type activities			
	Water		Sewer	
	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest
Year ending June 30:				
2011	\$ 1,288,922	578,687	4,413,202	2,187,996
2012	1,312,022	545,225	4,899,527	2,154,820
2013	1,326,368	510,861	4,956,200	2,070,997
2014	1,345,782	468,448	5,109,389	1,888,634
2015	1,362,803	430,749	5,223,620	1,742,246
2016 – 2020	6,814,370	1,561,558	26,695,962	6,395,991
2021 – 2025	6,269,084	719,409	27,757,182	2,767,701
2026 – 2030	3,546,811	107,350	10,492,988	370,168
2031 – 2035	—	—	583,674	5,837
	<u>\$ 23,266,162</u>	<u>4,922,287</u>	<u>90,131,744</u>	<u>19,584,390</u>

	Business-type activities			
	Other		Total	
	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest
Year ending June 30:				
2011	\$ 150,000	9,163	5,852,124	2,775,846
2012	150,000	6,912	6,361,549	2,706,957
2013	150,000	4,662	6,432,568	2,586,520
2014	150,000	2,350	6,605,171	2,359,432
2015	100,000	—	6,686,423	2,172,995
2016 – 2020	500,000	—	34,010,332	7,957,549
2021 – 2025	400,000	—	34,426,266	3,487,110
2026 – 2028	—	—	14,039,799	477,518
2031 – 2035	—	—	583,674	5,837
	<u>\$ 1,600,000</u>	<u>23,087</u>	<u>114,997,906</u>	<u>24,529,764</u>

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

The City has entered into loan agreements with the Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust (MWPAT) to finance certain water and wastewater related capital improvements. Since the City is legally obligated for the total debt amounts, the full liability has been recorded in the Water and Sewer funds in the accompanying basic financial statements. The City expects to receive \$472,382 and \$3,976,882 of Water and Sewer principal and interest subsidies, respectively, from MWPAT over the remaining life of the loans as follows:

	Business-type activities					
	Water		Sewer		Total	
	Principal subsidy	Interest subsidy	Principal subsidy	Interest subsidy	Principal subsidy	Interest subsidy
Year ending June 30:						
2011	\$ 13,367	31,038	104,494	346,592	117,861	377,630
2012	14,353	28,994	109,941	322,901	124,294	351,895
2013	15,397	27,299	85,474	332,939	100,871	360,238
2014	18,035	20,990	112,321	288,388	130,356	309,378
2015	19,393	18,256	113,993	268,688	133,386	286,944
2016 – 2020	111,465	62,011	274,039	1,016,909	385,504	1,078,920
2021 – 2025	78,058	13,726	191,546	408,657	269,604	422,383
Total	\$ 270,068	202,314	991,808	2,985,074	1,261,876	3,187,388

The City is subject to a dual-level general debt limit; the normal debt limit and the double-debt limit. Such limits are equal to 2½% and 5%, respectively, of the valuation of taxable property in the City as last equalized by the Commonwealth’s Department of Revenue. Debt may be authorized up to the normal debt limit without state approval. Authorizations under the double-debt limit, however, require the approval of the Commonwealth’s Emergency Finance Board. Additionally, there are many categories of general obligation debt which are exempt from the debt limit but are subject to other limitations.

As of June 30, 2010, the City may issue approximately \$405.0 million of additional general-obligation debt under the normal debt limit. The City has approximately \$224.2 million of debt exempt from the debt limit.

As of June 30, 2010, the City has total authorized unissued debt of \$145.8 million. The remaining authorized unissued debt is intended to finance the following:

School construction/furnishings	\$ 68,875,000
Pension funding	47,710,000
Water projects	14,641,473
School refunding	3,380,000
Sewer projects	11,228,664
	<u>\$ 145,835,137</u>

(8) Landfill Closure and Postclosure Care Costs

State and Federal laws and regulations require that the City place a final cover on its landfill sites and perform certain maintenance and monitoring functions at the landfill sites for a minimum of thirty years after closure. In addition to operating expenses related to current activities of the landfill sites, an expense

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

provision and related liability are being recognized based on the future closure and postclosure care costs that will be incurred near or after the date the landfills no longer accept waste. The recognition of these landfill closure and postclosure care costs is based on the amount of the landfills used during the year. The estimated liability for landfill closure and postclosure care costs is based on the percent usage (filled) of the landfills and is as follows at June 30, 2010:

	<u>Governmental activities</u>	<u>Business-type activities (Sewer fund)</u>
Closure and postclosure care costs	\$ 1,585,373	1,058,000
Percentage usage (filled)	100.00%	46.00%

It is estimated that an additional \$1,242,000 will be recognized as closure and postclosure care expenses between the date of the balance sheet and the date the Sewer fund landfill is currently expected to be filled to capacity (the year 2047).

The landfill liability recorded by the governmental activities represents postclosure care costs only, as the closure costs have been contractually assumed by a third party in exchange for the future use of the landfill site. As of June 30, 2009, the landfill has been closed and the City began to incur postclosure care costs in fiscal year 2009.

The third party has placed an irrevocable letter of credit in the amount of \$5,485,000 in trust to provide assurance that funds will be available when needed for closure, maintenance, and/or corrective action.

The estimated total current cost of the landfill closure and postclosure care is based on the amount that would be paid if all equipment, facilities, and services required to close, monitor, and maintain the landfills were acquired as of June 30, 2010. However, the actual cost of closure and postclosure care may be higher due to inflation, changes in technology, or changes in landfill laws and regulations.

(9) Temporary Borrowings

Under state law and by authorization of the City Council, the City is authorized to borrow on a temporary basis to fund the following:

- Current operating costs prior to the collection of revenues through issuance of revenue anticipation notes (RANs);
- Capital project costs incurred prior to obtaining permanent financing through issuance of bond anticipation notes (BANs); and
- Federal and state-aided capital projects and other program expenditures prior to receiving reimbursement through issuance of federal and state-aid anticipation notes (FANs and SANs).

Temporary loans are general obligations of the City and carry maturity dates which are limited by statute.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

Short-term debt activity for the year ended June 30, 2010 was as follows:

	Outstanding as of June 30, 2009	Additions	Reductions	Outstanding as of June 30, 2010
Bond anticipation notes payable:				
Governmental activities:				
Other governmental funds:				
Capital projects funds:				
School construction	\$ 9,000,000	9,000,000	9,000,000	9,000,000

(10) Operating Transfers

Operating transfers and their purposes during the year ended June 30, 2010 were as follows:

	Governmental funds		Enterprise funds		
	General	Other	Water	Sewer	Other
Water receipts – in lieu of taxes	\$ 674,771	—	(674,771)	—	—
Sewer receipts – in lieu of taxes	943,458	—	—	(943,458)	—
General fund revenue – debt service costs	(149,100)	—	106,500	42,600	—
Parking authority reserve – parking authority	276,497	(276,497)	—	—	—
Parking meter fees – parking authority	281,465	(281,465)	—	—	—
Weights and Measures – Services	17,627	(17,627)	—	—	—
General fund revenue – recreation subsidy	(545,596)	—	—	—	545,596
General fund revenue – Library	69,721	(69,721)	—	—	—
General fund revenue – grant deficits	(12,694)	12,694	—	—	—
Capital Projects – Sewer Enterprise	—	(1,020,033)	(5,244)	1,025,277	—
General fund revenue – recreation debt service costs	(161,350)	—	—	—	161,350
General fund revenue – refuse subsidy	(8,037)	—	—	—	8,037
Total	\$ <u>1,386,762</u>	<u>(1,652,649)</u>	<u>(573,515)</u>	<u>124,419</u>	<u>714,983</u>

(11) Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB) Disclosures

GASB Statement No. 45, *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions* requires governments to account for other postemployment benefits, primarily healthcare, on an accrual basis rather than on a pay-as-you-go basis. The effect is the recognition of an actuarially required contribution as an expense on the government-wide statement of activities when a future retiree earns their postemployment benefit rather than when they use their postemployment benefit. To the extent that an entity does not fund their actuarially required contribution, a postemployment benefit liability is recognized on the government-wide statement of net assets over time.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

In addition to the pension benefits described in note 6, the City provides postemployment health care and life insurance benefits, in accordance with state statute and City ordinance, to participating retirees and their beneficiaries.

As of June 30, 2010, the valuation date, 2,624 retirees and 2,957 active members meet the eligibility requirements as put forth in Chapter 32B of MGL. The City sponsors and participates in a single employer defined benefit OPEB plan. The OPEB plan is administered by the City and does not issue a stand-alone financial report.

Medical and prescription drug benefits are provided to all eligible retirees not enrolled in Medicare through a variety of plans offered by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts and Harvard Pilgrim HealthCare. Medical and prescription drug benefits are provided to retirees enrolled in Medicare through Medicare Supplemental plans offered by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts and Harvard Pilgrim HealthCare.

Groups 1 and 2 retirees, including teachers, with at least 10 years or 20 years of creditable service are eligible at age 55 or any age, respectively. Group 4 retirees with at least 10 years or 20 years of creditable service are eligible at age 45 or any age, respectively. Retirees on ordinary or accidental disability retirement are eligible at any age while ordinary disability requires 10 years of creditable service. The surviving spouse is eligible to receive both pre- and post-retirement death benefits, as well as medical and prescription drug coverage.

(a) Funding Policy

Employer and employee contribution rates are governed by the respective collective bargaining agreements. The City currently funds the plan on a pay-as-you-go basis. The City and plan members share the cost of benefits. As of June 30, 2010, the valuation date, the plan members contribute 10% to 25% of the monthly premium cost, depending on the plan in which they are enrolled. The City contributes the balance of the premium cost.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

(b) Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation

The City's annual OPEB expense is calculated based on the annual required contribution of the employer (ARC), an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover the normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liability over a period of thirty years. The following table shows the components of the City's annual OPEB cost for the year ending June 30, 2010, the amount actually contributed to the plan, and the change in the City's net OPEB obligation based on an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2010:

Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	\$ 57,791,137
Interest on net OPEB obligation	2,507,964
Adjustment to ARC	<u>(2,393,150)</u>
Annual OPEB cost	57,905,951
Contributions made	<u>(20,808,583)</u>
Change in net OPEB obligation	37,097,368
Net OPEB obligation – beginning of year	<u>59,010,921</u>
Net OPEB obligation – end of year	\$ <u><u>96,108,289</u></u>

The City's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation were as follows:

	<u>Annual OPEB cost</u>	<u>Percentage of OPEB cost contributed</u>	<u>Net OPEB obligation</u>
Fiscal year ended:			
2010	\$ 57,905,951	35.94%	\$ 96,108,289

The City's net OPEB obligation as of June 30, 2010 is recorded on the government-wide statement of net assets.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

(c) Funded Status and Funding Progress

The funded status of the plan as of June 30, 2010, based on an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2010, was as follows (in thousands):

Actuarially accrued liability (AAL)	\$	693,570
Actuarial value of plan assets		<u>—</u>
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL)	\$	<u>693,570</u>
Funded ratio (actuarial value of plan assets/AAL)		—%
Covered payroll (active plan members)	\$	171,103
UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll		405.4%

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the Commission are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements, presents multi-year trend information that shows whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

(d) Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the City and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the City and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

In the June 30, 2010 actuarial valuation, the projected unit credit cost method was used. The actuarial assumptions included a 4.25% investment rate of return and an annual health care cost trend rate of 7.5%, reduced by decrements to an ultimate rate of 5% after 5 years. The City's unfunded actuarial accrued liability is being amortized as a level percentage of pay on a closed basis assuming 4.5% increases. The remaining amortization period at June 30, 2010 was thirty years.

(12) Risk Management

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to general liability, property and casualty, workers' compensation, unemployment and employee health, and life insurance claims.

Buildings and property are insured against fire, theft, and natural disaster to the extent that losses exceed a deductible of \$100,000 per incident. Vehicle damage and loss is insured to \$1,000,000 with a deductible of \$1,000.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

The City is self insured for workers' compensation and unemployment claims. The City is also self insured for those employees participating in the City's Health Care Plans (Health Care Plans). Approximately 60% of the City's employees participate in preferred provider Health Care Plans.

Both employees and the City contribute to the Health Care Plans based upon a percentage formula, 75% (City) and 25% (employee), with the exception of Blue Cross Blue Shield Master Medical which is 70% City and 30% employee. The retirees' contribution rate is 25%, except for those retirees who were 65 or older as of July 1, 2003 and whose annual household income was \$21,660 or less for a single person over 65 years of age, or \$29,140 for a two-person household with one person over 65 years of age. For these retirees, the contribution rates are 15% for Blue Cross Blue Shield (BCBS) Master Medical, BCBS Master Medical Carve Out A&B, BCBS Medex III, and BCBS Choice and 10% for HMO Blue, Harvard Pilgrim Healthcare, and Harvard Pilgrim Enhanced. The 15% and 10% rates were established through a Home Rule Petition voted and approved by the City Council and the Massachusetts General Court. Stop loss insurance is carried on the Health Care Plans for claims in excess of \$200,000 per covered person and \$2,000,000 maximum per covered person, with the exception of individual specific deductible of \$400,000 and maximum specific benefit per lifetime of \$2,000,000. The City maintains a working deposit with the administrator of its Blue Cross Blue Shield Health Care Plans. At June 30, 2010, that deposit was \$6,744,800, which includes Dental insurance. The financial arrangement with Harvard Pilgrim is monthly level funding of \$1,325,000 with quarterly adjustments if necessary.

The City is insured for other types of general liability; however, Chapter 258 of the MGL limits the City's liability to a maximum of \$100,000 per claim in all matters except actions relating to federal/civil rights, eminent domain, and breach of contract.

Liabilities for self-insured claims are reported if it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. These losses include an estimate of claims that have been incurred but not reported. Changes in the self-insurance liability for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

	Workers' compensation plan	Health care plans	Total
Balance at June 30, 2008	\$ 6,175,900	2,890,300	9,066,200
Provision for losses/change in estimate	5,642,891	42,832,063	48,474,954
Payments for claims	(1,252,991)	(41,591,863)	(42,844,854)
Balance at June 30, 2009	10,565,800	4,130,500	14,696,300
Provision for losses/change in estimate	808,229	38,403,685	39,211,914
Payments for claims	(734,057)	(38,616,263)	(39,350,320)
Balance at June 30, 2010	\$ 10,639,972	3,917,922	14,557,894

The liability for claims and judgments consists of governmental and business-type activities in the amount of approximately \$7,575,833 and \$6,982,061, respectively.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

(13) Commitments

On May 22, 2001, the City entered into a Water Purchase Agreement (the Agreement) with Inima, Servicios Europeos De Medio Ambiente, S.A. (Inima), jointly with Bluestone Energy Services, operating as Aquaria.

This Agreement provides for obtaining additional water from Aquaria's desalinization facility, which it designed, permitted, constructed, and operates. The plant employs conventional water treatment, followed by a reverse osmosis process to remove salinity. This will provide a minimum of five million gallons daily (MGD) of potable water and will be readily capable of expansion to ten MGD.

The Agreement expires in 2028 unless extended, renewed, or terminated. This Agreement may be renewed for up to 30 additional years in five year renewal terms.

Aquaria makes available to the City a minimum of the Firm Commitment of water on a daily and yearly average basis. The Firm Commitment begins at 1.9 MGD and increases over the 20 years to 4.07 MGD.

The schedule for the City's fixed purchase commitment resembles the projected growth in water demand for the City, but the schedule somewhat exceeds this curve, especially in years three to eight. In the event that other water purchase contracts are executed, the City has the right to offset its fixed commitment to a minimum of 2.0 MGD with the volume commitment of other long term purchasers or the right to reduce by about 50%, on a gallon for gallon basis, its fixed price for its fixed volume commitment.

The rate charged to the City for the Firm Commitment is a fixed annual charge of \$167,480 per year per 0.1 MGD of the City's Firm Commitment; this charge is incurred regardless of whether the City takes the water. In addition, the City incurs an additional charge of \$1.23 per 1,000 gallons for water actually delivered. For example, with a firm commitment of 2.0 MGD plus actual usage of 1.0 MGD for an entire year, the City would pay nearly \$3.8 million. The financial obligation is primarily attached to the fixed price component. The rate structure is permitted to escalate with the Producer Price Index for Finished Goods, excluding food after three years of water delivery. Accordingly, escalation will begin, in the fourth year of the contract, which is at the end of 2011. Fixed and variable charges are recorded in the major Water fund when incurred, which totaled \$3.9 million in fiscal year 2010.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

As of June 30, 2010, based on the current fixed annual charge, the City expects to pay \$117.7 million for its Firm Commitment as follows:

	<u>Amount</u>
Fiscal year:	
2011	\$ 4,605,700
2012	5,443,100
2013	5,861,800
2014	5,912,044
2015	5,962,288
2016 – 2020	32,784,210
2021 – 2025	34,082,180
2026 – 2030	23,043,342
	<u>\$ 117,694,664</u>

(14) Fund Deficits

The following funds had deficit fund balances at June 30, 2010:

Other governmental funds:	
Special revenue:	
Federal and state law enforcement grants	\$ 20,380
Capital projects:	
School construction	<u>4,904,208</u>
Total	<u>\$ 4,924,588</u>

The special revenue deficits will be eliminated upon satisfactory completion of federal and state audits. The capital projects deficit will be eliminated through the issuance of long-term debt.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Budgetary Comparison Schedule – General Fund
Required Supplementary Information

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

	Budgeted amounts		Actual amounts (budgetary basis)	Variance with final budget positive (negative)
	Original	Final		
Resources (inflows):				
Real and personal property taxes, net	\$ 94,367,092	95,624,152	98,756,500	3,132,348
Motor vehicle and other excise	4,360,000	4,385,000	5,786,669	1,401,669
Penalties and interest on taxes	1,320,000	1,140,000	1,619,799	479,799
Payments in lieu of taxes	220,000	145,000	263,086	118,086
User charges and other revenue	2,095,000	2,587,187	6,355,052	3,767,865
Fees	335,000	225,000	673,451	448,451
Licenses and permits	1,140,000	660,000	2,162,119	1,502,119
Intergovernmental	148,120,164	148,923,000	149,061,804	138,804
Fines	600,000	450,000	610,487	160,487
Investment income	354,500	365,000	308,205	(56,795)
Transfers in	3,890,088	3,784,059	3,784,059	—
Amounts available for appropriation	<u>256,801,844</u>	<u>258,288,398</u>	<u>269,381,231</u>	<u>11,092,833</u>
Charges to appropriations (outflows):				
Current:				
General government	12,130,989	12,455,679	11,283,522	1,172,157
Public safety	38,822,038	39,716,945	37,474,085	2,242,860
Education	131,272,678	131,862,894	131,859,276	3,618
Public works	6,999,724	7,003,024	6,459,818	543,206
Human services	1,651,612	2,054,612	1,842,519	212,093
Culture and recreation	1,743,529	1,947,638	1,797,977	149,661
State and county assessments	5,642,872	5,642,872	5,605,388	37,484
Pension and fringe benefits	56,700,825	56,305,266	53,103,103	3,202,163
Court judgment	204,100	204,100	237,097	(32,997)
Capital outlay	33	347,477	346,533	944
Debt service	13,908,707	13,908,707	13,318,779	589,928
Transfers out	2,612,618	2,612,618	2,612,618	—
Total charges to appropriations	<u>271,689,725</u>	<u>274,061,832</u>	<u>265,940,715</u>	<u>8,121,117</u>
Excess (deficiency) of resources over charges to appropriations	<u>(14,887,881)</u>	<u>(15,773,434)</u>	<u>3,440,516</u>	<u>19,213,950</u>
Other budget items:				
Free cash	14,490,670	14,490,670		
Chapter 324 reserve	(149,379)	(149,379)		
Other financing sources/uses	546,590	1,432,143		
Total other budget items	<u>14,887,881</u>	<u>15,773,434</u>		
Net budget	\$ <u>—</u>	\$ <u>—</u>		

See notes to required supplementary information.

See accompanying independent auditors' report.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Required Supplementary Information

June 30, 2010

Note A – Budgetary Basis of Accounting

The City must establish its property tax rate each year so that the resulting property tax levy will comply with the limits required by Proposition 2½ and also constitute that amount which will equal the sum of (a) the aggregate of all annual appropriations for expenditures and transfers, plus (b) provision for the prior fiscal year's deficits, if any, less (c) the aggregate of all nonproperty tax revenue and transfers projected to be received by the City, including available surplus funds.

The budgets for all departments and operations of the City, except that of public schools, are prepared under the direction of the Mayor. The School Department budget is prepared by the School Committee. Original and supplemental appropriations are submitted by the Mayor and approved by the City Council. The Finance Department independently develops revenue estimates which effectively limit total expenditures consistent with the City's Chief Financial Officer's requirement under Chapter 324 of the Acts of 1990 to certify the affordability of spending requests.

The City's annual budget is prepared on a basis other than GAAP. The "actual" amounts column of the Budgetary Comparison Schedule is presented on a "budgetary basis" to provide a meaningful comparison with the budget. The major differences between the budget and GAAP bases are that:

- (a) Budgeted revenues are recorded when cash is received, except for real estate and personal property taxes, which are recorded as revenue when levied (budget), as opposed to when susceptible to accrual (GAAP).
- (b) Encumbrances and continuing appropriations are recorded as the equivalent of expenditures (budget), as opposed to a reservation of fund balance (GAAP).

Note B – Expenditures in Excess of Budget

In fiscal year 2010, the City had expenditures in excess of budgeted appropriations totaling, \$32,997 in the court judgments line item. As required by Massachusetts General Law, the City will raise this deficit in their 2011 budget.

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Notes to Required Supplementary Information

June 30, 2010

Note C – Explanation of Differences between Budgetary Inflows and Outflows and GAAP Revenues and Expenditures – General Fund

Budgetary inflows and GAAP revenues:

Actual amounts (budgetary basis) “amounts available for appropriation” from the budgetary comparison schedule	\$ 269,381,231
Differences – budget to GAAP:	
Property and excise taxes and intergovernmental revenues are reported as a budgetary resource on the cash basis, rather than on the modified accrual basis	(2,701,042)
Contributions for health claims are not reported as a budgetary resource	14,860,275
Interest earned for health claims are not reported as a budgetary resource	6,794
Transfers from other funds are inflows of budgetary resources but are not revenues for financial reporting purposes	<u>(2,263,539)</u>
Total revenues as reported on the statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances	<u>\$ 279,283,719</u>

Budgetary outflows and GAAP expenditures:

Actual amounts (budgetary basis) “total charges to appropriation” from the budgetary comparison schedule	\$ 265,940,715
Differences – budget to GAAP:	
Enterprise fund related budgetary expenditures are recorded as reductions to transfers for GAAP purposes	(2,636,503)
Health claims expenditures and accruals are not reported as charges to appropriations on a budgetary basis	17,983,298
Adjustments for expenditures, encumbrances, and accruals, net	(1,107,722)
Transfers to other funds are outflows of budgetary appropriations but are not expenditures for financial reporting purposes	<u>(876,777)</u>
Total expenditures as reported on the statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances	<u>\$ 279,303,011</u>

CITY OF BROCKTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Required Supplementary Information

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Schedules of Funding Progress

Actuarial valuation date	Actuarial value of assets (a)	Actuarial accrued liability (b)	Unfunded (b-a) Pension	Funded ratio (a/b)	Covered payroll (c)	((b-a)/c)
January 1, 2010	\$ 351,526	453,213	101,687	77.6%	\$ 70,882	143.5%
January 1, 2008	377,647	410,270	32,623	92.0	69,345	47.0
January 1, 2007	361,767	398,969	37,202	90.7	67,660	55.0
Other Post Employment Benefits						
June 30, 2010	\$ —	693,570	693,570	—%	\$ 171,103	405.4%
June 30, 2008	—	635,224	635,224	—	147,088	431.9

Schedule of Contributions from City – Pension

	Annual required contribution	Percentage contributed
Year ended December 31:		
2009	\$ 9,709	100%
2008	9,742	100
2007	9,470	100

See accompanying independent auditors' report.